Stat13 Homework 6

http://www.stat.ucla.edu/~dinov/courses_students.html

Suggested Solutions

HW_6_1 (25 points)

Let X = price of 100 g. X is approximately Normal. Plan 1: T1 = 6 X Plan 1: T2 = Y1 + Y2 + Y3 + Y4, where each Y is 1.5 X

Since X is Normal(mean = 1205, SD = 100), we have: Var(X) = 10000E(Y) = 1.5 * 1205 = 1807.5, Var(Y) = 1.5 * 1.5 * Var(X) = 22500, SD(Y) = 150. Y is Normal(mean = 1807.5, 150^2)

- (a) E(T1) = 6*1205 = 7230. (2 points) Var(T1) = 36 * Var(X), so SD(T1) = sqrt(36 * 100 * 100) = 600. (2 points) T1 is Normal(mean = 7230, SD ^2= 600^2). (2point)
- (b) E(T2) = 4*1807.5 = 7230. (2 points)Var(T2) = Var(Y1 + Y2 + Y3 + Y4) = 4 *Var(Y1) = 4 * 22500, SD(T1) = 300. (2)

points)

Here we assume each Y's are independent. T2 is Normal(mean = 7230, $SD^2 = 300^2$). (2point)

- (c) The variance of plan 1 is larger. (2 points)
- (d) Plan 1: P(T1 > 5800) =P(Z > (5800 - 7230)/600) = P(Z > -2.38333) = 0.9914 (3 points)
- (e) Plan 2: P(T2 > 5800) =P(Z > (5800 - 7230)/300) = P(Z > -4.77) = .9999999 (3 points)
- (f) Plan 1: P(T1 < 5900) =P(Z < (5900 - 7230)/600) = P(Z < -2.217) = 0.0133 (3 points)
- (g) Either one of the following explanations is acceptable: (2 points) <u>Explanation 1</u>: Probability in (e) > Probability in (d), so it is more likely to exceed \$5800 using plan 2. Therefore, plan 1 is safer. <u>Explanation 2</u>: Smaller variance yields better prediction. Plan 2 has smaller variance so it is safer.

HW_6_2 (10 points)

Each die: Let X = possible outcomes, so X could take on 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. P(X = 1) = P(X = 2) = ... = 1/8.

E(X) = (1 + 2 + 3 + ... + 8) / 8 = 36/8 = 4.5 (1 point)Var(X) = ((1 - 4.5)² + (2 - 4.5)² + ... + (8 - 4.5)²) / 8 = 5.25. (1 point)

Five dice is rolled twice --

Let $X_{i, j}$ = the outcome of the ith die, at the jth time, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and j = 1, 2, 3. (This is just one way to assign subscripts.) Then $Y = X_{1,1} + X_{1,2} + \dots + X_{5, 2} + X_{5,3}$ (there are 15 terms here.) Note each $X_{i,j}$ is independent from another, so variance of the sum is the sum of the variance. $m_Y = E(Y) = 3 * 5 * 4.5 = 67.5$. (2 points)

 $Var(Y) = Var(X_{1,1} + X_{1,2} + ... + X_{5,2} + X_{5,3}) = 3 * 5 * 5.25 = 78.75.$

So SD(Y) = sqrt(78.75) = 8.87412 (2 points) Now we carry out the experiment 11 times:

Let \overline{Y} = sample mean of five dice being rolled twice. Sample size = 11. The by CLT, \overline{Y} is Normal. To estimate the mean and SD of \overline{Y} : Mean(\overline{Y}) = 67.5 (2 points)

 $SD(\overline{Y}) = sqrt(Var(Y) / 11) = 2.675648$ (2 points)

<u>HW_6_3</u> (15 points)

Let X = number of correctly remembered words of a mnemonics group subject. (like "treatment") Let Y = number of correctly remembered words of a normal group subject. (like "control")

These two groups of samples are independent.

(a) For the sampled data: mean(X) = 14.1, SD(X) = 2.468752mean(Y) = 9.631579, SD(Y) = 3.33684 (1 point for each number)

(b) Let \overline{X} = sample mean of the normal group. Let \overline{Y} = sample mean of the normal group An estimate of the "difference in the mean" is D = \overline{X} - \overline{Y} = 4.468421 (1point)

Sample variance of \overline{X} , $S_x^2 = 11.13450$ Sample variance of \overline{Y} , $S_y^2 = 6.094737$ SD(D) = sqrt($S_x^2/19 + S_y^2/20$) = 0.9438026 (1 point)

And D follows a t-distribution of DF = min(20 - 1, 19 - 1) = 18.

 $t_{0.975} = 2.101$ (1 point) 95% CI = 4.468 ± 2.101 * 0.944 = (2.485492, 6.45135) (1 point)

Plain English sentence: The 95%CI does not cover zero, and this suggests that the difference, D, is significantly different from zero. (2 points)

(c) Approximate twice as much as old sample size.
We have: n1 = 20 and n2 = 19 are about the same. So, use n1 ≈ n2. To find the new CI, plug in:

New n1 = 4 n1, New $n2 = 4 n2 \approx 4 n1$

Sample variance ${S_x}^2$ and ${S_y}^2$ are approximately the same as they were before plugging using 4 n1.

Then, the new CI = 0.5 old CI.

Note that $t_{0.975}$ does not change much (still about 2). So the new sample should be four times of the old one. (4*39=156) (2 points)

(d) We don't know. It is possible that the 95% CI covers / doesn't cover the true mean. But if we do such experiment again and again, then ABOUT 95% of the CI's we construct will cover the true mean. (3 points)