## Stat 13.1 F07 HW 4 Solutions

## 5.3

Let the number of successes in 5 be represented by the random variable X

- $\hat{p}=0$, therefore we have 0 successes out of 5 trials.

$$
\mathrm{P}(\hat{p}=0)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=0)=.077760
$$

- $\hat{p}=0.2$, therefore we have 1 successes out of 5 trials.
$\mathrm{P}(\hat{p}=1)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=1)=.259200$
- $\hat{p}=0.4$, therefore we have 2 successes out of 5 trials.
$\mathrm{P}(\hat{p}=2)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=2)=.345600$
- $\hat{p}=0.6$, therefore we have 3 successes out of 5 trials.

$$
\mathrm{P}(\hat{p}=3)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=3)=.230400
$$

- $\hat{p}=0.8$, therefore we have 4 successes out of 5 trials.

$$
\mathrm{P}(\hat{p}=4)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=4)=.076800
$$

- $\hat{p}=1$, therefore we have 5 successes out of 5 trials.
- $\mathrm{P}(\hat{p}=5)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=5)=.010240$


Note: this is just a histogram. Ignore the filled-in box.

My Binomial Coin Experiment Results:


- The empirical values in col 3 do not look like the theoretical values in col 2. Many of the empirical values are 0 and the non-zero values are much larger than the theoretical values. They are not close at all.
- With only ten runs, there are only 10 data points to create the empirical distribution, which has 21 possibilities for X ( 0 through 20 successes). If we increased the number of flips for each run to 100 , but still only did 10 runs, the empirical distribution would look even worse because we would now have only 10 runs with 101 possibilities.
- If we instead did 100 runs of 20 flips each, we would now have 100 data points for our empirical distribution histogram, therefore it should much more closely resemble the theoretical distribution.


### 5.16

$\mu: 3000 \quad \sigma: 400 \quad$ Note, E occurs if $2900<\bar{Y}<3100$
a) $n=15$
$\mu_{\bar{Y}}: 3000 \quad \sigma_{\bar{Y}}: 400 / \sqrt{15}=103.3$

Using SOCR: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E})=.666983$
By hand:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{L}=\frac{\bar{y}_{L}-\mu_{\bar{Y}}}{\sigma_{\bar{Y}}}=\frac{2900-3000}{103.3}=-.97 \\
& z_{U}=\frac{\bar{y}_{U}-\mu_{\bar{Y}}}{\sigma_{\bar{Y}}}=\frac{3100-3000}{103.3}=.97 \\
& P\left(Z \leq z_{L}\right)=.1660 \\
& P\left(Z \leq z_{U}\right)=.8340 \\
& P\left(z_{L} \leq Z \leq z_{U}\right)=.8340-.1660=.6680 \\
& \therefore P\left(\bar{y}_{L} \leq \bar{Y} \leq \bar{y}_{U}\right)=.6680
\end{aligned}
$$

b) $n=60$

$$
\mu_{\bar{Y}}: 3000 \quad \sigma_{\bar{Y}}: 400 / \sqrt{60}=51.64
$$

Using SOCR: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E})=.947191$
By hand:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{L}=\frac{\bar{y}_{L}-\mu_{\bar{Y}}}{\sigma_{\bar{Y}}}=\frac{2900-3000}{51.64}=-1.94 \\
& z_{U}=\frac{\bar{y}_{U}-\mu_{\bar{Y}}}{\sigma_{\bar{Y}}}=\frac{3100-3000}{51.64}=1.94 \\
& P\left(Z \leq z_{L}\right)=.0262 \\
& P\left(Z \leq z_{U}\right)=.9738 \\
& P\left(z_{L} \leq Z \leq z_{U}\right)=.9738-.0262=.9476 \\
& \therefore P\left(\bar{y}_{L} \leq \bar{Y} \leq \bar{y}_{U}\right)=.9476
\end{aligned}
$$

c) As $n$ increases, $P(E)$ increases as well.

### 5.38

Let E be the event that $\hat{p}$ is closer to $1 / 2$ than $9 / 16$
a) $\mathrm{n}=1$. E occurs if the number of purple plants is zero, therefore $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E})=7 / 16=.4375$
b) $n=64$.
$\frac{1}{2} 64=32$
$\frac{9}{16} 64=36$

Therefore, if we have a number of plants less than or equal to $33, \hat{p}$ will be closer to $1 / 2$ than $9 / 16$.
The normal approximation to the binomial has:
$\mu=n p=64 \frac{9}{16}=36$
$\sigma=\sqrt{n p(1-p)}=\sqrt{64 \frac{9}{16 \frac{7}{16}}}=3.969$

Then if we let X be the number of purple plants:
$P(X \leq 33) \approx P(Y \leq 33)$
Where $Y \sim N(36,3.969)$
and
$P(Y \leq 33)=P\left(\frac{Y-36}{3.969} \leq \frac{33-36}{3.969}\right)$
$=P(Z \leq-.76)=.2236=P(E)$
c) $n=320$.
$\frac{1}{2} 320=160$
$\frac{9}{16} 320=180$

Therefore, if we have a number of plants less than or equal to $169, \hat{p}$ will be closer to $1 / 2$ than $9 / 16$.

The normal approximation to the binomial has:
$\mu=n p=320 \frac{9}{16}=180$
$\sigma=\sqrt{n p(1-p)}=\sqrt{320 \frac{9}{16} \frac{7}{16}}=8.874$

Then if we let X be the number of purple plants:
$P(X \leq 169) \approx P(Y \leq 169)$
Where $Y \sim N(180,8.874)$
and
$P(Y \leq 169)=P\left(\frac{Y-180}{8.874} \leq \frac{169-180}{8.874}\right)$
$=P(Z \leq-1.24)=.1075=P(E)$

### 5.51

$\mu=8.3$
$\sigma=1.7$
$\bar{y}^{*}=90 \mathrm{~g} / 10$ mice $=9 \mathrm{~g}$
So we want :
$P\left(\bar{Y} \geq \bar{y}^{*}\right)$
We also know :
$\sigma_{\bar{Y}}=1.7 / \sqrt{10}=.538$
$\bar{Y} \sim N(8.3,538)$
$\therefore$
$P(\bar{Y} \geq 9 g)=1-P(\bar{Y} \leq 9 g)$
$=1-P\left(\frac{\bar{Y}-8.3}{.538} \leq \frac{9-8.3}{.538}\right)$
$=1-P(Z \leq 1.3)$
$=1-.9032$
$=.0968$ or $9.68 \%$

Using SOCR: $P(\bar{Y} \geq 9 g)=.09661$

