

Stat 100a, Introduction to Probability.

Outline for the day:

1. $P(\text{AA and full house})$ and $P(\text{Ad Kd and royal flush})$.
2. $P(A\spadesuit \text{ after first ace})$.
3. Daniel vs. Gus.
4. $P(\text{flop 3 of a kind})$.
5. $P(\text{eventually make 4 of a kind})$.
6. Bayes's rule.
7. Random variables.
8. cdf, pmf, and density.
9. Expected value.

Read through chapter 4.

For problem 2.4, consider a royal flush an example of a straight flush. That is, calculate $P(\text{straight flush or royal flush})$.    

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1. P(\text{you get dealt AA and flop a full house}) \\
&= P(\text{you get dealt AA}) * P(\text{you flop a full house} \mid \text{AA}) \\
&= C(4,2) / C(52,2) * P(\text{triplet or Axx} \mid \text{AA}) \\
&= 6/1326 * (12 * C(4,3) + 2*12*C(4,2))/C(50,3) \\
&= .00433\%.
\end{aligned}$$

$P(\text{you are dealt } A\heartsuit K\heartsuit \text{ and flop a royal flush})?$ This relates to the unbreakable nuts hw question in a way.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= P(\text{you get dealt } A\heartsuit K\heartsuit) * P(\text{you flop a royal flush} \mid \text{you have } A\heartsuit K\heartsuit) \\
&= P(\text{you get dealt } A\heartsuit K\heartsuit) * P(\text{flop contains } Q\heartsuit J\heartsuit 10\heartsuit \mid \text{you have } A\heartsuit K\heartsuit) \\
&= 1 / C(52,2) * 1/C(50,3) \\
&= 1 / 25,989,600.
\end{aligned}$$

Deal til first ace appears. Let X = the *next* card after the ace.

$$P(X = A\spadesuit)? \quad P(X = 2\clubsuit)?$$

2. Deal til first ace appears. Let X = the *next* card after the ace.

$P(X = A\spadesuit)$? $P(X = 2\clubsuit)$?

(a) How many permutations of the 52 cards are there?

52!

(b) How many of these perms. have $A\spadesuit$ right after the 1st ace?

(i) How many perms of the *other* 51 cards are there?

51!

(ii) For *each* of these, imagine putting the $A\spadesuit$ right after the 1st ace.

1:1 correspondence between permutations of the other 51 cards & permutations of 52 cards such that $A\spadesuit$ is right after 1st ace.

So, the answer to question (b) is 51!.

Answer to the overall question is $51! / 52! = 1/52$.

Obviously, same goes for $2\clubsuit$.

3. High Stakes Poker, Daniel vs. Gus.

Which is more likely, given no info about your cards:

- * flopping 3 of a kind,

or

- * eventually making 4 of a kind?

4. P(flop 3-of-a-kind)?

[including case where all 3 are on board, and *not including full houses*]

Key idea: forget order! Consider all combinations of your 2 cards and the flop.

Sets of 5 cards. Any such combo is equally likely! $\text{choose}(52,5)$ different ones.

$$P(\text{flop 3 of a kind}) = \# \text{ of different 3 of a kinds} / \text{choose}(52,5)$$

How many different 3 of a kind combinations are possible?

$13 * \text{choose}(4,3)$ different choices for the triple.

For each such choice, there are $\text{choose}(12,2)$ choices left for the numbers on the other 2 cards, and for each of these numbers, there are 4 possibilities for its suit.

$$\text{So, } P(\text{flop 3 of a kind}) = 13 * \text{choose}(4,3) * \text{choose}(12,2) * 4 * 4 / \text{choose}(52,5)$$

$$\sim 2.11\%, \text{ or } 1 \text{ in } 47.3.$$

$$P(\text{flop 3 of a kind or a full house}) = 13 * \text{choose}(4,3) * \text{choose}(48,2) / \text{choose}(52,5)$$

$$\sim 2.26\%, \text{ or } 1 \text{ in } 44.3.$$

5. P(eventually make 4-of-a-kind)? [including case where all 4 are on board]

Again, just forget card order, and consider all collections of 7 cards.

Out of $\text{choose}(52,7)$ different combinations, each equally likely, how many of them involve 4-of-a-kind?

13 choices for the 4-of-a-kind.

For each such choice, there are $\text{choose}(48,3)$ possibilities for the other 3 cards.

So, $P(4\text{-of-a-kind}) = 13 * \text{choose}(48,3) / \text{choose}(52,7) \sim 0.168\%$, or 1 in 595.

6. Bayes's rule.

Suppose that B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n are disjoint events and that exactly one of them must occur.

Suppose you want $P(B_1 | A)$, but you only know $P(A | B_1), P(A | B_2), \dots$, and you also know $P(B_1), P(B_2), \dots, P(B_n)$.

Bayes' Rule: If B_1, \dots, B_n are disjoint events with $P(B_1 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } B_n) = 1$, then

$$P(B_i | A) = P(A | B_i) * P(B_i) \div [\sum P(A | B_j)P(B_j)].$$

Why? Recall: $P(X | Y) = P(X \& Y) \div P(Y)$. So $P(X \& Y) = P(X | Y) * P(Y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_1 | A) &= P(A \& B_1) \div P(A) \\ &= P(A \& B_1) \div [P(A \& B_1) + P(A \& B_2) + \dots + P(A \& B_n)] \\ &= P(A | B_1) * P(B_1) \div [P(A | B_1)P(B_1) + P(A | B_2)P(B_2) + \dots + P(A | B_n)P(B_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Bayes's rule, continued.

Bayes's rule: If B_1, \dots, B_n are disjoint events with $P(B_1 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } B_n) = 1$, then

$$P(B_i | A) = P(A | B_i) * P(B_i) \div [\sum P(A | B_j)P(B_j)].$$

See example 3.4.1, p50. If a test is 95% accurate and 1% of the pop. has a condition, then given a random person from the population,

$P(\text{she has the condition} | \text{she tests positive})$

$$= P(\text{cond} | +)$$

$$= P(+ | \text{cond}) P(\text{cond}) \div [P(+ | \text{cond}) P(\text{cond}) + P(+ | \text{no cond}) P(\text{no cond})]$$

$$= 95\% \times 1\% \div [95\% \times 1\% + 5\% \times 99\%]$$

$$\sim 16.1\%.$$

Tests for rare conditions must be extremely accurate.

Bayes' rule example.

Suppose $P(\text{your opponent has the nuts}) = 1\%$, and $P(\text{opponent has a weak hand}) = 10\%$.

Your opponent makes a huge bet. Suppose she'd only do that with the nuts or a weak hand, and that $P(\text{huge bet} \mid \text{nuts}) = 100\%$, and $P(\text{huge bet} \mid \text{weak hand}) = 30\%$.

What is $P(\text{nuts} \mid \text{huge bet})$?

$P(\text{nuts} \mid \text{huge bet}) =$

$$P(\text{huge bet} \mid \text{nuts}) * P(\text{nuts})$$

$$P(\text{huge bet} \mid \text{nuts}) P(\text{nuts}) + P(\text{huge bet} \mid \text{horrible hand}) P(\text{horrible hand})$$

$$= \frac{100\% * 1\%}{100\% * 1\% + 30\% * 10\%}$$

$$= \mathbf{25\%}.$$

7. Random variables.

A *variable* is something that can take different numeric values.

A *random variable* (X) can take different numeric values with different probabilities.

X is *discrete* if all its possible values can be listed. If X can take any value in an interval like say $[0,1]$, then X is *continuous*.

Ex. Two cards are dealt to you. Let X be 1 if you get a pair, and X is 0 otherwise.

$$P(X \text{ is } 1) = 3/51 \sim 5.9\%.$$

$$P(X \text{ is } 0) \sim 94.1\%.$$

Ex. A coin is flipped, and $X=20$ if heads, $X=10$ if tails.

The *distribution* of X means all the information about all the possible values X can take, along with their probabilities.

8. cdf, pmf, and density (pdf).

Any random variable has a *cumulative distribution function* (cdf):

$$F(b) = P(X \leq b).$$

If X is discrete, then it has a *probability mass function* (pmf):

$$f(b) = P(X = b).$$

Continuous random variables are often characterized by their *probability density functions* (pdf, or *density*):

a function $f(x)$ such that $P(X \text{ is in } B) = \int_B f(x) \, dx$.

9. Expected Value.

For a discrete random variable X with pmf $f(b)$, the *expected value* of $X = \sum b f(b)$.

The sum is over all possible values of b . (continuous random variables later...)

The expected value is also called the *mean* and denoted $E(X)$ or μ .

Ex: 2 cards are dealt to you. $X = 1$ if pair, 0 otherwise.

$P(X \text{ is } 1) \sim 5.9\%$, $P(X \text{ is } 0) \sim 94.1\%$.

$E(X) = (1 \times 5.9\%) + (0 \times 94.1\%) = 5.9\%$, or 0.059.

Ex. Coin, $X=20$ if heads, $X=10$ if tails.

$$E(X) = (20 \times 50\%) + (10 \times 50\%) = 15.$$

Ex. Lotto ticket. $f(\$10\text{million}) = 1/\text{choose}(52,6) = 1/20\text{million}$, $f(\$0) = 1 - 1/20\text{mil}$.

$$E(X) = (\$10\text{mil} \times 1/20\text{million}) = \$0.50.$$

The expected value of X represents a *best guess* of X .

Compare with the *sample mean*, $\overline{X} = (X_1 + X_1 + \dots + X_n) / n$.