

Stat 100a: Introduction to Probability.

Outline for the day:

1. Hand in HW1. See hw2.
2. All in with 55.
3. Expected value and pot odds.
4. Pot odds example, Elezra and Violette.
5. $P(\text{flop 4 of a kind})$.
6. Variance and SD.
7. Markov and Chebyshev inequalities.
8. Luck and skill in poker.
9. Lederer and Minieri.

I will assign you to teams on Tuesday.



1. Hand in HW1.

2. All in with 55?

a) You have \$100 and 55 and are up against A9. You are 56% to win, so your expected value is \$112.

b) You have \$100 and 55 and are up against A9, KJ, and QJs. Seems pretty terrible, doesn't it? But you have a probability of 27.3% to quadruple, so your expected value is

$0.273 \times \$400 = \109 . About the same as #1!

[For these probabilities, see the online Texas Holdem odds calculator at <http://www.cardplayer.com> .]

2. Expected value and pot odds.

Suppose someone bets (or raises) you, going all-in. What should your chances of winning be in order for you to correctly call?

Let B = the amount bet to you, i.e. the additional amount you'd need to put in if you want to call. So, if you bet 100 & your opponent with 800 left went all-in, $B = 700$.

Let POT = the amount in the pot right now (including your opponent's bet).

Let p = your probability of winning the hand if you call. So prob. of losing = $1-p$.

Let $CHIPS$ = the number of chips you have right now.

If you call, then $E[\text{your chips at end}] = (CHIPS - B)(1-p) + (CHIPS + POT)(p)$
 $= CHIPS(1-p+p) - B(1-p) + POT(p) = CHIPS - B + Bp + POTp$

If you fold, then $E[\text{your chips at end}] = CHIPS$.

You want your expected number of chips to be maximized, so it's worth calling if $-B + Bp + POTp > 0$, i.e. if **$p > B / (B+POT)$** .

The denominator is how large the pot will be after you call.

From previous slide, to call an all-in, need $P(\text{win}) > B \div (B + \text{pot})$.

Expressed as an *odds ratio*, this is sometimes referred to as *pot odds* or *express odds*.

If the bet is not all-in & another betting round is still to come, need

$$P(\text{win}) > \text{wager} \div (\text{wager} + \text{winnings}),$$

where $\text{winnings} = \text{pot} + \text{amount you'll win on later betting rounds}$,

$\text{wager} = \text{total amount you will wager including the current round \& later rounds}$,
assuming no folding.

The terms *Implied-odds* / *Reverse-implied-odds* describe the cases where
 $\text{winnings} > \text{pot}$ or where $\text{wager} > B$, respectively. See p66.

You will not be tested on implied or reverse implied odds in this course.

Example: 2006 World Series of Poker (WSOP). ♠ ♣ ♥ ♦

Blinds: 200,000/400,000, + 50,000 ante.

Jamie Gold (4♠ 3♣): 60 million chips. Calls.

Paul Wasicka (8♠ 7♠): 18 million chips. Calls.

Michael Binger (A♦ 10♦): 11 million chips. Raises to \$1,500,000.

Gold & Wasicka call. (pot = 4,650,000) Flop: 6♠ 10♣ 5♠.

- Wasicka checks, Binger bets \$3,500,000. (pot = 8,150,000)
- Gold moves all-in for 16,450,000. (pot = 24,600,000)
- Wasicka folds. Q: Based on expected value, should he have called?

If Binger will fold, then Wasicka's chances to beat Gold must be at least
 $16,450,000 / (24,600,000 + 16,450,000) = 40.1\%$.

If Binger calls, it's a bit complicated, but basically Wasicka's chances must be at least
 $16,450,000 / (24,600,000 + 16,450,000 + 5,950,000) = 35.0\%$.

4. Pot odds example, *Poker Superstars Invitational Tournament*, FSN, October 2005.

Ted Forrest: 1 million chips

Freddy Deeb: 825,000

Blinds: 15,000 / 30,000

Cindy Violette: 650,000

Eli Elezra: 575,000

* Elezra raises to 100,000

* Forrest folds.

* Deeb, the small blind, folds.

* Violette, the big blind with K♦ J♦, calls.

* The flop is: 2♦ 7♣ A♦

* Violette bets 100,000. (pot = 315,000).

* Elezra raises all-in to 475,000. (pot = 790,000).

So, it's 375,000 more to Violette. She folds.

Q: Based on expected value, should she have called?

Her chances must be at least $375,000 / (790,000 + 375,000) = 32\%$.

Violette has K♦ J♦. The flop is: 2♦ 7♣ A♦.

Q: Based on expected value, should she have called?

Her chances must be at least $375,000 / (790,000 + 375,000) = 32\%$.

vs. AQ: 38%. AK: 37% AA: 26% 77: 26% A7: 31%
 A2: 34% 72: 34% TT: 54% T9: 87% 73: 50%

Harrington's principle: always assume at least a 10% chance that opponent is bluffing.

Bayesian approach: average all possibilities, weighting them by their likelihood.

Violette has K♦ J♦. The flop is: 2♦ 7♣ A♦.

Q: Based on expected value, should she have called?

Her chances must be at least $375,000 / (790,000 + 375,000) = 32\%$.

vs. AQ: 38%. AK: 37% AA: 26% 77: 26% A7: 31%
 A2: 34% 72: 34% TT: 54% T9: 87% 73: 50%

Harrington's principle: always assume at least a 10% chance that opponent is bluffing.

Bayesian approach: average all possibilities, weighting them by their likelihood.

Reality: Elezra had 7♦ 3♥. Her chances were 51%. Bad fold.

What was her prob. of winning (given just her cards and Elezra's, and the flop)?

Of $\text{choose}(45,2) = 990$ combinations for the turn & river, how many give her the win?

First, how many outs did she have? eight ♦s + 3 kings + 3 jacks = 14.

She wins with (out, out) or (out, nonout) or (non-♦ Q, non-♦ T)

$$\text{choose}(14,2) + 14 \times 31 + 3 \times 3 = 534$$

but not (k or j, 7 or non-♦ 3) and not (3♦, 7 or non-♦ 3)

$$- 6 \times 4 - 1 \times 4 = 506.$$

So the answer is $506 / 990 = 51.1\%$.

5. P(flop 4 of a kind).

Suppose you're all in next hand, no matter what cards you get.

$$\mathbf{P(\text{flop 4 of a kind})} = 13 \cdot 48 / \text{choose}(52, 5) = 0.024\% = 1 \text{ in } \mathbf{4165}.$$

P(flop 4 of a kind | pocket pair)?

No matter which pocket pair you have, there are $\text{choose}(50, 3)$ possible flops, each equally likely, and how many of them give you 4-of-a-kind?

48. (e.g. if you have $7\spadesuit 7\heartsuit$, then need to flop $7\diamondsuit 7\clubsuit x$, & there are 48 choices for x)

$$\text{So } \mathbf{P(\text{flop 4-of-a-kind} \mid \text{pp})} = 48 / \text{choose}(50, 3) = 0.245\% = 1 \text{ in } \mathbf{408}.$$

6. Variance and SD.

Expected Value: $E(X) = \mu = \sum k P(X=k)$.

Variance: $V(X) = \sigma^2 = E[(X - \mu)^2]$. Turns out this = $E(X^2) - \mu^2$.

Standard deviation = $\sigma = \sqrt{V(X)}$. Indicates how far an observation would *typically* deviate from μ .

Examples:

Game 1. Say $X = \$4$ if red card, $X = \$-5$ if black.

$$E(X) = (\$4)(0.5) + (\$-5)(0.5) = -\$0.50.$$

$$E(X^2) = (\$4^2)(0.5) + (\$-5^2)(0.5) = (\$16)(0.5) + (\$25)(0.5) = \$20.5.$$

$$\text{So } \sigma^2 = E(X^2) - \mu^2 = \$20.5 - \$-0.50^2 = \$20.25. \quad \sigma = \mathbf{\$4.50}.$$

Game 2. Say $X = \$1$ if red card, $X = \$-2$ if black.

$$E(X) = (\$1)(0.5) + (\$-2)(0.5) = -\$0.50.$$

$$E(X^2) = (\$1^2)(0.5) + (\$-2^2)(0.5) = (\$1)(0.5) + (\$4)(0.5) = \$2.50.$$

$$\text{So } \sigma^2 = E(X^2) - \mu^2 = \$2.50 - \$-0.50^2 = \$2.25. \quad \sigma = \mathbf{\$1.50}.$$

7. Markov and Chebyshev inequalities. Ch 4.6.

The Markov inequality states

If X takes only non-negative values, and c is any number > 0 , then

$$P(X \geq c) \leq E(X)/c.$$

Proof. The discrete case is given on p82.

Here is a proof for the case where X is continuous with pdf $f(y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \int y f(y) dy \\ &= \int_0^c yf(y)dy + \int_c^\infty yf(y)dy \\ &\geq \int_c^\infty yf(y)dy \\ &\geq \int_c^\infty cf(y)dy \\ &= c \int_c^\infty f(y)dy \\ &= c P(X \geq c). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $P(X \geq c) \leq E(X) / c$.

The Chebyshev inequality states

For any random variable Y with expected value μ and variance σ^2 , and any real number $a > 0$,
 $P(|Y - \mu| \geq a) \leq \sigma^2 / a^2$.

Proof. The Chebyshev inequality follows directly from the Markov equality by letting $c = a^2$ and $X = (Y - \mu)^2$.

Examples of the use of the Markov and Chebyshev inequalities are on p83.

8. Luck and skill in poker. ♠ ♣ ♥ ♦

Let equity = your expected portion of the pot after the hand, assuming no future betting.

= your expected number of chips after the hand - chips you had before the hand

= pot * p, where p = your probability of winning if nobody folds.

I define luck as the equity gained during the dealing of the cards.

Skill = equity gained during the betting rounds.

Example.

You have Q♣ Q♦. I have 10♠ 9♠. Board is 10♦ 8♣ 7♣ 4♣. Pot is \$5.

The river is 2♦, you bet \$3, and I call.

On the river, how much equity did you gain by luck and how much by skill?

Equity gained by luck on river = your equity when 2♦ is exposed – your equity on turn

= 100% (\$5) - 35/44 (\$5) = \$1.02.

Why 35/44? I can win with a 10, 9, 6, or J that is not a club. There are 1 + 2 + 3 + 3 = 9 of these cards, so the remaining 35 cards give you the win.

Equity gained by skill on river = your equity after all the betting is over - your equity when the 2♦ is dealt

= increase in pot on river * P(you win) - your cost

= \$6 * 100% - \$3 = \$3.

Luck and skill in poker, continued. ♠ ♣ ♥ ♦

Example.

You have Q♣ Q♦. I have 10♠ 9♠. Board is 10♦ 8♣ 7♣ 4♣. Pot is \$5.

The river is 2♦, you bet \$3, and I call.

On the river, how much equity did you gain by luck and how much by skill?

Alternatively, let x = the number of chips you have after your \$3 bet on the river.

Before this bet, you had $x + \$3$ chips.

Equity gained by skill on river = your equity after all the betting is over - your equity when the 2♦ is dealt

= your expected number of chips after all the betting is over – your expected number of chips when the 2d is dealt

= (100%)($x + \$11$) – (100%)($x + \$3 + \5)

= \$3.

9. Lederer and Minieri.

2 min into <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-MbLOWXPaNm> .

3 min in <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4pU68XVCuaU> .

Let equity = your expected portion of the pot after the hand, assuming no future betting.

= your expected number of chips after the hand - chips you had before the hand

= pot * p, where p = your probability of winning if nobody folds.

I define luck as the equity gained during the dealing of the cards.

Skill = equity gained during the betting rounds.

Are there any problems with these definitions?

10. Bluffing. Ivey and Booth.

8. Facts about expected value.

For any random variable X and any constants a and b ,

$$E(aX + b) = aE(X) + b.$$

Also, $E(X+Y) = E(X) + E(Y)$,

unless $E(X) = \infty$ and $E(Y) = -\infty$, in which case $E(X)+E(Y)$ is undefined.

$$\text{Thus } \sigma^2 = E[(X-\mu)^2]$$

$$= E[(X^2 - 2\mu X + \mu^2)]$$

$$= E(X^2) - 2\mu E(X) + \mu^2$$

$$= E(X^2) - 2\mu^2 + \mu^2$$

$$= E(X^2) - \mu^2.$$