

# **Stat 100a: Introduction to Probability.**

## Outline for the day

0. Quick facts about normals.

1. Chip proportions and induction.

2. Doubling up.

3. Examples.

0. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent and both are normal, then  $X+Y$  is normal, and so are  $-X$  and  $-Y$ .

The computer project is due on Sat Dec2 8:00pm.

HW3 is due Tue Dec 5.

Thu Dec 7 is the final exam, here in class, 11am to 12:15pm.

Again any notes and books are fine, and bring a pencil and a calculator.

Also bring your student ID to the exam.

# **1. Chip proportions and induction, Theorem 7.6.6.**

$P(\text{win a tournament})$  is proportional to your number of chips.

Simplified scenario. Suppose you either go up or down 1 each hand, with prob.  $1/2$ .

Suppose there are  $n$  chips, and you have  $k$  of them.

Let  $p_k = P(\text{win tournament given } k \text{ chips}) = P(\text{random walk goes } k \rightarrow n \text{ before hitting } 0)$ .

Now, clearly  $p_0 = 0$ . Consider  $p_1$ . From 1, you will either go to 0 or 2.

So,  $p_1 = 1/2 p_0 + 1/2 p_2 = 1/2 p_2$ . That is,  $p_2 = 2 p_1$ .

We have shown that  $p_j = j p_1$ , for  $j = 0, 1$ , and  $2$ .

**(induction:)** Suppose that, for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $p_j = j p_1$ .

**We will show that  $p_{m+1} = (m+1) p_1$ .**

**Therefore,  $p_j = j p_1$  for all  $j$ .**

That is,  $P(\text{win the tournament})$  is prop. to your number of chips.

$p_m = 1/2 p_{m-1} + 1/2 p_{m+1}$ . If  $p_j = j p_1$  for  $j \leq m$ , then we have

$$m p_1 = 1/2 (m-1) p_1 + 1/2 p_{m+1},$$

$$\text{so } p_{m+1} = 2m p_1 - (m-1) p_1 = (m+1) p_1.$$

**2. Doubling up.** Again,  $P(\text{winning}) = \text{your proportion of chips}$ .

Theorem 7.6.7, p152, describes another simplified scenario.

Suppose you either double each hand you play, or go to zero, each with probability  $1/2$ .

Again,  $P(\text{win a tournament})$  is prop. to your number of chips.

Again,  $p_0 = 0$ , and  $p_1 = 1/2$   $p_2 = 1/2$   $p_2$ , so again,  $p_2 = 2 p_1$ .

We have shown that, for  $j = 0, 1$ , and  $2$ ,  $p_j = j p_1$ .

**(induction:)** Suppose that, for  $j \leq m$ ,  $p_j = j p_1$ .

**We will show that  $p_{2m} = (2m) p_1$ .**

**Therefore,  $p_j = j p_1$  for all  $j = 2^k$ .** That is,  $P(\text{win the tournament})$  is prop. to # of chips.

This time,  $p_m = 1/2 p_0 + 1/2 p_{2m}$ . If  $p_j = j p_1$  for  $j \leq m$ , then we have

$mp_1 = 0 + 1/2 p_{2m}$ , so  $p_{2m} = 2mp_1$ . Done.

In Theorem 7.6.8, p152, you have  $k$  of the  $n$  chips in play. Each hand, you gain 1 with prob.  $p$ , or lose 1 with prob.  $q=1-p$ .

Suppose  $0 < p < 1$  and  $p \neq 0.5$ . Let  $r = q/p$ . Then  $P(\text{you win the tournament}) = (1-r^k)/(1-r^n)$ .

The proof is again by induction, and is similar to the proof we did of Theorem 7.6.6.

### 3. Examples.

(Chen and Ankenman, 2006). Suppose that a \$100 winner-take-all tournament has  $1024 = 2^{10}$  players. So, you need to double up 10 times to win. Winner gets \$102,400.

Suppose you have probability  $p = 0.54$  to double up, instead of 0.5.

What is your expected profit in the tournament? (Assume only doubling up.)

Answer.  $P(\text{winning}) = 0.54^{10}$ , so exp. return =  $0.54^{10} (\$102,400) = \$215.89$ . So exp. profit = \$115.89.

What if each player starts with 10 chips, and you gain a chip with  $p = 54\%$  and lose a chip with  $p = 46\%$ ? What is your expected profit?

Answer.  $r = q/p = .46/.54 = .852$ .  $P(\text{you win}) = (1-r^{10})/(1-r^{10240}) = 79.9\%$ .  
So exp. profit =  $.799(\$102400) - \$100 \sim \$81700$ .

## Random Walk example.

Suppose you start with 1 chip at time 0 and that your tournament is like a simple random walk, but if you hit 0 you are done.  $P(\text{you have not hit zero by time } 47)?$

We know that starting at 0,  $P(Y_1 \neq 0, Y_2 \neq 0, \dots, Y_{2n} \neq 0) = P(Y_{2n} = 0)$ .

So,  $P(Y_1 > 0, Y_2 > 0, \dots, Y_{48} > 0) = \frac{1}{2} P(Y_{48} = 0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Choose}(48, 24)(\frac{1}{2})^{48}$

$= P(Y_1 = 1, Y_2 > 0, \dots, Y_{48} > 0)$

$= P(\text{start at 0 and win your first hand, and then stay above 0 for at least 47 more hands})$

$= P(\text{start at 0 and win your first hand}) \times P(\text{from } (1, 1), \text{ stay above 0 for } \geq 47 \text{ more hands})$

$= \frac{1}{2} P(\text{starting with 1 chip, stay above 0 for at least 47 more hands}).$

So,  $P(\text{starting with 1 chip, stay above 0 for at least 47 hands}) = \text{Choose}(48, 24)(\frac{1}{2})^{48}$

$= 11.46\%$ .

**Bayes' rule example.**

Your opponent raises all-in before the flop. Suppose you think she would do that 80% of the time with AA, KK, or QQ, and she would do that 30% of the time with AK or AQ, and 1% of the time with anything else.

Given only this, and not even your cards, what's P(she has AK)?

Given nothing,  $P(AK) = 16/C(52,2) = 16/1326$ .  $P(AA) = C(4,2)/C(52,2) = 6/1326$ .

Using Bayes' rule,

$$\begin{aligned} P(AK \mid \text{all-in}) &= \frac{P(\text{all-in} \mid AK) * P(AK)}{P(\text{all-in} \mid AK)P(AK) + P(\text{all-in} \mid AA)P(AA) + P(\text{all-in} \mid KK)P(KK) + \dots} \\ &= \frac{30\% \times 16/1326}{[30\% \times 16/1326] + [80\% \times 6/1326] + [80\% \times 6/1326] + [80\% \times 6/1326] + [30\% \times 16/1326] + [1\% \times (1326 - 16 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 16)/1326]} \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad (AK) \qquad\qquad (AA) \qquad\qquad (KK) \qquad\qquad (QQ) \qquad\qquad (AQ) \text{ (anything else)} \\ &= \mathbf{13.06\%}. \text{ Compare with } 16/1326 \sim 1.21\%. \end{aligned}$$

## Conditional probability examples.

**Approximate P(SOMEONE has AA, given you have KK)? Out of your 8 opponents?**

Note that given that you have KK,

$P(\text{player 2 has AA} \ \& \ \text{player 3 has AA})$

$$= P(\text{player 2 has AA}) \times P(\text{player 3 has AA} \mid \text{player 2 has AA})$$

$$= \text{choose}(4,2) / \text{choose}(50,2) \times 1/\text{choose}(48,2)$$

$$= 0.0000043, \text{ or } 1 \text{ in } 230,000.$$

So, very little overlap! Given you have KK,

$P(\text{someone has AA}) = P(\text{player2 has AA or player3 has AA or ... or pl.9 has AA})$

$$\sim P(\text{player2 has AA}) + P(\text{player3 has AA}) + \dots + P(\text{player9 has AA})$$

$$= 8 \times \text{choose}(4,2) / \text{choose}(50,2) = 3.9\%, \text{ or } 1 \text{ in } \mathbf{26}.$$

-----

What is **exactly**  $P(\text{someone has an Ace} \mid \text{you have KK})$ ? (8 opponents)

or more than one ace

Given that you have KK,  $P(\text{someone has an Ace}) = 100\% - P(\text{nobody has an Ace}).$

And  $P(\text{nobody has an Ace}) = \text{choose}(46,16)/\text{choose}(50,16)$

$$= \mathbf{20.1\%}.$$

So  $P(\text{someone has an Ace}) = \mathbf{79.9\%}.$

### **Some other example problems.**

a. Find the probability you are dealt a suited king.

$$4 * 12 / C(52,2) = 3.62\%.$$

b. The typical number of hands until this occurs is ... +/- ....

$$1/.0362 \sim 27.6.$$

$$(\sqrt{96.38\%}) / 3.62\% \sim 27.1.$$

So the answer is 27.6 +/- 27.1.

More on luck and skill.

Gain due to skill on a betting round = your expected *profit* during the betting round  
= your exp. chips after betting round – your exp. chips before betting round  
= (equity after round + leftover chips) –  
    (equity before round + leftover chips + chips you put in during round)  
= **equity after round – equity before round – cost during round.**

For example, suppose you have A♣ A♠, I have 3♥3♦, the board is  
A♥ Q♣ 10♦ and there is \$10 in the pot. The turn is 3♣

You go all in for \$5 and I call. How much equity due to skill did you gain on the turn?

Your prob. of winning is 43/44.

Your skill gain on turn = your equity after turn bets - equity before turn bets – cost  
= (\$20)(43/44) - (\$10)(43/44) - \$5  
= \$4.77.

Suppose instead you bet \$5 on the turn and I folded. How much equity due to skill did you gain on the turn?

$\$15(100\%) - (\$10)(43/44) - \$5 = \$0.23.$



$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\text{You have AK} \mid \text{you have exactly one ace})? \\
&= P(\text{You have AK and exactly one ace}) / P(\text{exactly one ace}) \\
&= P(\text{AK}) / P(\text{exactly one ace}) \\
&= (16/C(52,2)) \div (4 \times 48/C(52,2)) \\
&= 4/48 = 8.33\%.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\text{You have AK} \mid \text{you have at least one ace})? \\
&= P(\text{You have AK and at least one ace}) / P(\text{at least one ace}) \\
&= P(\text{AK}) / P(\text{at least one ace}) \\
&= (16/C(52,2)) \div (((4 \times 48 + C(4,2))/C(52,2)) \sim 8.08\%.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\text{You have AK} \mid \text{your FIRST card is an ace})? \\
&= 4/51 = 7.84\%.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose there are 1000 players in a casino. Each of them is playing holdem. What is the expected number of people who have pocket aces?

Let  $X_1 = 1$  if player 1 has pocket aces, and 0 otherwise.

$X_2 = 1$  if player 2 has pocket aces, and 0 otherwise.

$X_3 = 1$  if player 3 has pocket aces, and 0 otherwise, etc.

$X_1$  and  $X_2$  are not independent. Nevertheless, if  $Y =$  the number of people with AA,

then  $Y = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{1000}$ , and

$$E(Y) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) + \dots + E(X_{1000})$$

$$= C(4,2)/C(52,2) \times 1000$$

$$\sim 4.52.$$

Let  $X$  = the number of aces you have and  $Y$  = the number of kings you have. What is  $\text{cov}(X,Y)$ ?

$$\text{cov}(X,Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y).$$

$X = X_1 + X_2$ , where  $X_1 = 1$  if your first card is an ace and  $X_2 = 1$  if your 2<sup>nd</sup> card is an ace,

so  $E(X) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) = 1/13 + 1/13 = 2/13$ .  $E(Y) = 2/13$ .

$E(XY) = 1$  if you have AK, and 0 otherwise, so  $E(XY) = 1 \times P(AK) = 4 \times 4 / C(52,2) = .0121$ .

So,  $\text{cov}(X,Y) = .0121 - 2/13 \times 2/13$

$$= -.0116.$$

## Another CLT Example

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{100}$  are 100 iid draws from a population with mean  $\mu=70$  and sd  $\sigma=10$ . What is the approximate distribution of the sample mean,  $\bar{x}$ ?

By the CLT, the sample mean is approximately normal with mean  $\mu$  and sd  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ , i.e.  $\sim N(70, 1^2)$ .

Now suppose  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{100}$  are iid draws, independent of  $X_1, X_2, X_{100}$ , with mean  $\mu=80$  and sd  $\sigma=25$ . What is the approximate distribution of  $\bar{x} - \bar{y} = Z$ ?

Now the sample mean of the first sample is approximately  $N(70, 1^2)$  and similarly the negative sample mean of the 2<sup>nd</sup> sample is approximately  $N(-80, 2.5^2)$ , and the two are independent, so their sum  $Z$  is approximately normal.

Its mean is  $70-80 = -10$ ,

and  $\text{var}(Z) = 1^2 + 2.5^2 = 7.25$ , so  $Z \sim N(-10, 2.69^2)$ , because  $2.69^2 = 7.25$ .

Remember, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are ind., then  $\text{var}(X+Y) = \text{var}(X) + \text{var}(Y)$ .