## Statistics 222, Spatial Statistics.

## Outline for the day:

1. Continue with day7.r.
2. Nonparametric estimation of Hawkes processes using MISD.

## Background and motivation.

* History of numerous models for earthquake forecasting, with mostly failures.
(elastic rebound, water levels, radon levels, animal signals, quiescence, electro-magnetic signals, characteristic earthquakes, AMR, Coulomb stress change, etc.)
* Skepticism among many in seismological community toward all probabilistic forecasts.
* Different models can have similar fit and very different implications for forecasts. (e.g. Pareto vs. tapered Pareto for seismic moments. Fitting these by MLE to 3765 shallow worldwide events with $\mathrm{M} \geq 5.8$ from 1977-2000, the Pareto says there should be an event of $\mathrm{M} \geq 10.0$ every 102 years, the tapered Pareto every $10^{436}$ years.
The fitted Pareto predicts an event with $\mathrm{M} \geq 12$ every 10,500 years, the tapered Pareto every $10^{43400}$ years.)
* Model evaluation techniques and forecasting experiments to discriminate among competing models and improve them are very important.
* We also need non-parametric alternatives to these models.

Kagan and Schoenberg (2001)


* We also need non-parametric alternatives to these models.

Temporal activity described by modified Omori Law: K/(u+c) ${ }^{p}$



Nonparametric estimation of Hawkes and ETAS processes.
Let $\mathbf{x}$ mean spatial coordinates $=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$.
Hawkes processes have $\lambda(\mathrm{t}, \mathbf{x})=\mu(\mathbf{x})+\mathrm{K} \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.

- An ETAS model may be written

$$
\lambda\left(t, \mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{H}_{t}\right)=\mu(\mathbf{x})+K \sum_{i: t_{i}<t} g\left(t-t_{i}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{i}\right),
$$

with triggering function

$$
g\left(t-t_{i}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{i}\right)=\exp \left\{a\left(m_{i}-M_{0}\right)\right\}\left(t-t_{i}+c\right)^{-p}\left(\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}+d\right)^{-q} .
$$

with e.g. $g\left(u, \mathbf{x} ; m_{i}\right)=(u+c)^{-p} \exp \left\{a\left(m_{i}-\mathrm{M}_{0}\right)\right\}\left(\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}+\mathrm{d}\right)^{-\mathrm{q}}$.
These ETAS models were introduced by Ogata (1998).
Instead of estimating g parametrically, one can estimate g nonparametrically, using the method of Marsan and Lengliné (2008), which they call Model Independent Stochastic Declustering (MISD).
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Nonparametric estimation of Hawkes and ETAS processes.

## Model Independent Stochastic Declustering

- The method of Marsan and Lengliné (2008):

$$
\lambda\left(t, m, x, y \mid \mathcal{H}_{t}\right)=\mu(x, y)+\sum_{j: t_{j}<t} \kappa\left(m_{j}\right) g\left(t-t_{j}\right) f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right),
$$

- Maximizes the expectation of the complete data log-likelihood and assigns probabilities that a child event $i$ is caused by an ancestor event $j$.


## Expectation Step

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i j} & =\frac{g(u) f(x, y)}{\mu(x, y)+\sum g(u) f(x, y)} \\
p_{i i} & =\frac{\mu(x, y)}{\mu(x, y)+\sum g(u) f(x, y)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Nonparametric estimation of Hawkes and ETAS processes.
Gordon et al. (2017) let the triggering function, g , depend on magnitude, sub-region, distance, and angular separation from the location $(x, y)$ in question to the triggering event.

$$
\lambda\left(t, m, x, y \mid \mathcal{H}_{t}\right)=\mu(x, y)+\sum_{j: t_{j}<t} \kappa\left(m_{j}\right) g\left(t-t_{j}\right) f\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j} ; \phi_{j}, m_{j}\right),
$$



Josh Gordon

Nonparametric estimation of Hawkes and ETAS processes.

## Expectation Step

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{i j}=\frac{g(u) f(x, y, \phi, m)}{\mu(x, y)+\sum g(u) f(x, y, \phi, m)} \\
& p_{i i}=\frac{\mu(x, y)}{\mu(x, y)+\sum g(u) f(x, y, \phi, m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Maximization Step

$$
h(r, \theta, m)_{k, \ell, q}=\frac{\sum_{c_{k, \ell, q}} p_{i j}}{\Delta r_{k} \Delta \theta_{\ell} \Delta m_{q}} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p_{i j}}_{\# \text { of Aftershocks }}
$$

- $c_{k, \ell, q}=\left\{(i, j) \mid \delta r_{k} \leq r_{i j} \leq \delta r_{k+1}, \delta \theta_{\ell} \leq \theta_{i j} \leq \delta \theta_{\ell+1}, \delta m_{q} \leq m_{j} \leq \delta m_{q+1}, i>j\right\}$ is the set of indices of all pairs of events that fall within the bins specified by the multidimensional histogram density estimator for magnitude, distance, and angular separation $h(r, \theta, m)$.
- $\kappa$ and $g$ are maximized similarly

