

Manuscript Number:

Title: Neurodevelopmental delay in children exposed in utero to hyperemesis gravidarum

Article Type: Full Length Article

Section/Category: Maternal-Fetal Medicine

Keywords: hyperemesis gravidarum; outcome; nausea; pregnancy; attention, learning, sensory, speech; neurodevelopmental delay

Corresponding Author: Dr. Marlena Fejzo,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Southern California, Los Angeles

First Author: Marlena Fejzo

Order of Authors: Marlena Fejzo; Aromalyn Magtira; Frederic Schoenberg; Kimber MacGibbon

Abstract: Objective: The purpose of this study is to determine the frequency of emotional, behavioral, and learning disorders in children exposed in utero to Hyperemesis Gravidarum (HG) and to identify prognostic factors for these disorders. Study Design: Neurodevelopmental outcomes of 312 children from 203 mothers with HG were compared to neurodevelopmental outcomes from 169 children from 89 unaffected mothers. Then the clinical profiles of patients with HG and a normal outcome were compared to the clinical profiles of patients with HG and a child with neurodevelopmental delay to identify prognostic factors. Binary responses were analyzed using either a Chi-square or Fisher Exact test and continuous responses were analyzed using a t-test. Results: Children exposed in utero to HG have a 3.28-fold increase in odds of a neurodevelopmental diagnosis including attention disorders, learning delay, sensory disorders, and speech and language delay ($p < 0.0005$). Among characteristics of HG pregnancies, only early onset of symptoms (prior to 5 weeks gestation) was significantly linked to neurodevelopmental delay. We found no evidence for increased risk of 13 emotional, behavioral, and learning disorders, including autism, intellectual impairment, and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Medications, treatments, and preterm birth were not associated with an increased risk for neurodevelopmental delay. Conclusion: Women with HG are at a significantly increased risk of having a child with neurodevelopmental delay. Common antiemetic treatments were not linked to neurodevelopmental delay, but early symptoms may play a role. There is an urgent need to address whether aggressive treatment that includes vitamin and nutrient supplementation in women with early symptoms of severe nausea of pregnancy decreases the risk of neurodevelopmental delay.

Suggested Reviewers: Fergus McCarthy

The Irish Centre for Fetal and Neonatal Translational Research,, Cork University Maternity Hospital
fergusmccarthy@gmail.com

Dr. McCarthy recently authored a review on HG "Hyperemesis gravidarum: current perspectives" which states, "There is a paucity of data examining the long-term effects of HG throughout childhood and into adulthood." Therefore, I believe Dr. McCarthy will have the interest and background to properly review this article.

Wayne Cutfield MD

Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; Gravida: National Centre for Growth and Development, Auckland, New Zealand

w.cutfield@auckland.ac.nz

Dr. Cutfield recently coauthored a review manuscript published in AJOG "Hyperemesis gravidarum and long-term health of the offspring" in which it is stated "The effects of hyperemesis gravidarum in the offspring need to be further examined throughout childhood, adolescence and into adulthood, so that long-term disease risks can be evaluated." So I believe he will have the expertise and interest to evaluate our manuscript.

Opposed Reviewers: Gideon Koren

gideon.koren@sickkids.ca

Please do not use any reviewers from Gideon Koren's group at the Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto. They are funded by Duchesnay Inc that makes a medicine that I have linked to preterm birth in HG pregnancies and therefore there may be a conflict of interest.

11_19_14

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

Thank you for taking the time to review our article, "Neurodevelopmental delay in children exposed in utero to hyperemesis gravidarum," for publication in the European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology. The purpose of this study is to determine the frequency of adverse emotional, behavioral, and learning disorders in children exposed in utero to Hyperemesis Gravidarum (HG) and to identify prognostic factors for these disorders.

This is the first study, to our knowledge, that compares women with HG and favorable outcomes to women with HG and neurodevelopmental diagnoses in children to identify factors associated with neurodevelopmental delay. The study is clinically relevant because we find a 3.28-fold increase in the odds of neurodevelopmental diagnoses in children exposed to HG in utero. Early onset of symptoms, not medications or treatments, is significantly linked to the neurodevelopmental diagnoses described in this study. Therefore, there is an urgent need for this data to be published and for the study to be repeated to confirm or dispute the findings in other populations. Determining whether earlier maternal/fetal supplementation can minimize the increased risk is the ultimate goal. The authors report no conflict of interest. This study has been approved by Institutional Review Board, UCLA IRB # 09-08-122-01A.

Sincerely,

Marlena Fejzo, Ph.D.

***Condensation (one sentence summary)**

Hyperemesis Gravidarum is associated with a 3-fold increase in odds of having a child with a neurodevelopmental diagnosis.

Neurodevelopmental delay in children exposed in utero to hyperemesis
gravidarum

Marlena S. FEJZO, Ph.D.^{1,4}, Ms Aromalyn MAGTIRA, M.S.², Frederic Paik
SCHOENBERG, Ph.D.², Ms Kimber MACGIBBON, R.N.³, Patrick M. MULLIN,
M.D., M.P.H.⁴

¹University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology,
and Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

²University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Statistics, Los Angeles, CA,
USA

³Hyperemesis Education and Research Foundation, Leesburg, VA, USA

⁴Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Department of
Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Word Count: Abstract 262, Main Text 2443

Corresponding Author:
Marlena S. Fejzo, Ph.D.
675 Charles E Young Dr. S
LA, CA 90095
PH: 310-206-1408
FAX: 310-825-3761
mfejzo@mednet.ucla.edu

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study is to determine the frequency of emotional, behavioral, and learning disorders in children exposed in utero to Hyperemesis Gravidarum (HG) and to identify prognostic factors for these disorders.

Study Design: Neurodevelopmental outcomes of 312 children from 203 mothers with HG were compared to neurodevelopmental outcomes from 169 children from 89 unaffected mothers. Then the clinical profiles of patients with HG and a normal outcome were compared to the clinical profiles of patients with HG and a child with neurodevelopmental delay to identify prognostic factors. Binary responses were analyzed using either a Chi-square or Fisher Exact test and continuous responses were analyzed using a t-test.

Results: Children exposed in utero to HG have a 3.28-fold increase in odds of a neurodevelopmental diagnosis including attention disorders, learning delay, sensory disorders, and speech and language delay ($p < 0.0005$). Among characteristics of HG pregnancies, only early onset of symptoms (prior to 5 weeks gestation) was significantly linked to neurodevelopmental delay. We found no evidence for increased risk of 13 emotional, behavioral, and learning disorders, including autism, intellectual impairment, and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Medications, treatments, and preterm birth were not associated with an increased risk for neurodevelopmental delay.

Conclusion: Women with HG are at a significantly increased risk of having a child with neurodevelopmental delay. Common antiemetic treatments were not linked to neurodevelopmental delay, but early symptoms may play a role. There

is an urgent need to address whether aggressive treatment that includes vitamin and nutrient supplementation in women with early symptoms of severe nausea of pregnancy decreases the risk of neurodevelopmental delay.

KEYWORDS

hyperemesis gravidarum; outcome; nausea; pregnancy; attention, learning, sensory, speech; neurodevelopmental delay

CONDENSATION

Hyperemesis Gravidarum is associated with a 3-fold increase in odds of having a child with a neurodevelopmental diagnosis.

SHORT TITLE

Neurodevelopmental delay in children exposed to Hyperemesis Gravidarum

INTRODUCTION

Hyperemesis Gravidarum (HG) accounts for over 285,000 hospital discharges in the U.S. annually.¹ Estimates of severe nausea and vomiting of pregnancy vary greatly and range from 0.3% in a Swedish registry to as high as 10.8% in a Chinese registry of pregnant women, with most authors reporting an incidence of approximately 0.5%.²⁻⁴ HG can be associated with serious maternal and fetal morbidity such as Wernicke's encephalopathy,⁵ fetal growth restriction, and even maternal and fetal death.^{6,7}

Hyperemesis Gravidarum may be defined as persistent, debilitating, unexplained nausea and vomiting resulting in more than a 5% weight loss, abnormal fluid and nutritional intake, electrolyte imbalance, dehydration, and ketonuria.⁸ Symptoms often extend beyond the first trimester and can last throughout the entire pregnancy in as many as one-third of cases, leading to extreme weight loss and possibly a state of malnutrition and prolonged dehydration of pregnancy.⁹

Published data has demonstrated pregnancy complications associated with HG. Two systematic reviews showed HG is significantly associated with low birth weight, small size for gestational age, and preterm birth.^{10,11} There is less information, however, on outcomes of children exposed to HG in utero.^{12,13} Recently we found a 3.6-fold increased risk of emotional and behavioral disorders in adults exposed to HG in utero.^{14,15} Herein, we determine the risk for emotional, behavioral, and learning disorders in children from well-defined cases with HG compared to well-defined controls without HG. Factors significantly

1
2
3
4 associated with neurodevelopmental delay in children exposed to HG in utero
5
6 were also identified.
7
8
9

10 11 **MATERIALS AND METHODS** 12

13 ***Sample and Settings*** 14

15
16 This study is part of a larger investigation evaluating the genetics and
17
18 epidemiology of Hyperemesis Gravidarum (HG). A total of 292 women have been
19
20 recruited. Eligible patients were primarily recruited through advertising on the
21
22 Hyperemesis Education and Research Foundation Web site at www.HelpHer.org
23
24 between 2007 and 2011. The inclusion criteria for cases were a diagnosis of HG
25
26 in their first pregnancy and treatment with IV fluids and/or total parenteral
27
28 nutrition/nasogastric feeding tube. Minors (under 18 years) were not included in
29
30 the study because few teens are expected to fit the study criteria for controls of
31
32 having had two pregnancies and it would be difficult to justify the risks/benefits to
33
34 normal control minors. Because multiple gestations or chromosome
35
36 abnormalities may be associated with HG due to unique physiological pathways,
37
38 women with these types of pregnancies were also excluded. Participants who
39
40 have no children were excluded because child outcomes are the focus of this
41
42 study.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Each case was asked to recruit an acquaintance with at least 2 pregnancies to
51
52 participate as a control. Controls were eligible if they experienced either no
53
54 nausea/vomiting in pregnancy or normal nausea/vomiting that did not interfere
55
56 with their daily routine, no weight loss due to nausea/vomiting and no medical
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 attention in any pregnancy due to nausea. Relatives of participants in the study
5
6
7 were not included in the study as the case-control study depends on non-
8
9 relatedness of individuals in the study. There were 203 HG patients and 89
10
11 control patients enrolled in the study. This study has been approved by the
12
13 Institutional Review Board at UCLA, IRB # 09-08-122-01A.
14
15
16
17

18 ***Study Procedures***

19
20
21 Participants were asked to submit their medical records and complete an online
22
23 survey regarding symptoms, treatment, and outcomes. The majority of
24
25 participants, both cases and controls, joined the study and began the survey
26
27 during their pregnancies and were automatically prompted to complete the
28
29 survey on fetal outcome following their due date.
30
31
32

33
34 In 2012, participants who met the study criteria were notified by email to update
35
36 child outcomes. Women with HG reported on the diagnosis of emotional,
37
38 behavioral, and learning disorders in 312 children exposed to HG in utero.
39
40 Controls reported on the diagnosis of emotional, behavioral, and learning
41
42 disorders in 169 children who were not exposed to HG in utero.
43
44
45
46
47

48 ***Online Survey***

49
50
51 An online survey was used to obtain information on a variety of demographic
52
53 characteristics, pre-existing conditions, pregnancy symptoms and treatments,
54
55 and maternal and fetal outcomes.¹⁶ A follow-up survey was administered to
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

report on the diagnosis of childhood emotional, behavioral, and learning disorders.¹⁶

Statistical Analyses

Respondents were categorized according to two binary response variables: reported HG / no HG, and neurodevelopmental diagnosis/ healthy child outcome, for all pregnancies that resulted in a child. Analyses were performed per child for Maternal/Child Characteristics (Table 1), but were performed on diagnosis in at least one child per family for all diagnoses in Table 2 to avoid bias due to genetic or familial diagnoses. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were performed to compare groups according to these binary responses, and t-tests were used to compare respondents according to continuous explanatory variables. Logistic regression was performed in order to derive estimated odds ratios corresponding to various maternal characteristics. The variables "weeks pregnant at first home health care visit", and "weeks pregnant at first outpatient visit" had missing response rates of 4.5% and 4.8%, respectively. All other variables had missing response rates below 1.4%. For each of the tests performed and models considered, observations with missing responses for any of the variables in the corresponding model were omitted.

RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics

All participants were from the United States, and cases and controls were well-matched for mean maternal age, spontaneous labor, delivery method, and use of

1
2
3
4 assisted reproduction (Table 1). Children of cases and controls were well-
5
6
7 matched for gender and age, with the average age between 8 and 9 years old.
8
9 Participants with HG had fewer children overall (1.54 on average for cases with
10
11 HG compared to 1.9 for the control group) and were significantly more likely to
12
13 have a child born before 37 weeks.
14
15

16 17 18 **Outcome**

19
20
21 Women with HG were significantly more likely to report a diagnosis of attention
22
23 deficit disorder/attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, learning delays, sensory
24
25 integration/sensory processing disorder, social development delay or social
26
27 anxiety, and speech or language delay in at least one of their children (Table 2).
28
29
30
31 There was no significant difference in the reported rates of autism spectrum
32
33 disorder, bipolar disorder, central auditory processing disorder, conduct disorder,
34
35 depression, dysgraphia, dyslexia, intellectual impairment, memory impairment,
36
37 obsessive-compulsive disorder, self-control issues, self-mutilation, or
38
39 visual/spatial skill impairment. There was a trend toward more mothers with HG
40
41 reporting at least one child born preterm, but it was not significant ($p=.07$).
42
43
44
45 Overall, 49% of women with HG reported at least one child with an emotional,
46
47 behavioral, or learning disorder, compared to 22% of women without HG. This
48
49 corresponds to a combined 3.28-fold increase in odds of neurodevelopmental
50
51 delay in children from pregnancies complicated by HG (OR 3.28, 95% CI = [1.89-
52
53 5.92]).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 ***Factors associated with neurodevelopmental delay in children exposed to***
5
6 ***HG in utero***
7

8
9 To analyze potential factors associated with neurodevelopmental delay in
10 children exposed in utero to HG, we looked at the gestational age symptoms
11 began, time of first treatment, treatment setting, and the gestational age weight
12 gain began. Among these, only nausea and vomiting symptoms beginning at
13 gestational age of 1-4 weeks were significantly associated with
14 neurodevelopmental delay (Table 3). The pregnancy characteristics, gestational
15 hypertension, ptyalism, and preterm birth rate (< 37 weeks) were also compared
16 between women with HG and a child diagnosed with neurodevelopmental delay
17 and women with HG and no children diagnosed with neurodevelopmental delay.
18 Neither were associated with the diagnoses seen in this study.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 ***Medications/Treatment and outcome***
37

38 We also explore the influence of various medications and treatments on child
39 outcome in the two groups (138 children exposed to HG with
40 neurodevelopmental delay compared to 174 children exposed to HG with a good
41 outcome). Among 37 medications/treatments, none were significantly associated
42 with neurodevelopmental delay (Table 4).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 ***Comment***
54

55 This study focuses on the most extreme end of the nausea and vomiting
56 spectrum, Hyperemesis Gravidarum, and shows a 3.28-fold increase in odds of a
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 neurodevelopmental diagnosis in children born from pregnancies complicated by
5
6 HG. This finding is not surprising given that previously, we found 3.6-fold
7
8 increased risk of a behavioral or emotional disorder in adults exposed to HG in
9
10 utero.^{14,15} Other studies on nausea and vomiting and pregnancy and
11
12 neurodevelopment have somewhat conflicting results on the effects of nausea
13
14 and vomiting of pregnancy (NVP) and neurodevelopment. Martin et al. (1999),
15
16 showed nausea beyond the first trimester was associated with lower task
17
18 persistence at age 5 and more attention and learning problems at age 12, while
19
20 Nulman et al. (2009), showed higher intelligence scores in NVP-exposed
21
22 children.^{17,18} Consistent with Nulman et al. (2009), we find no evidence for
23
24 intellectual impairment. Consistent with Martin et al. (1999), our results support
25
26 the finding that HG may have an effect on the emotional/behavioral development
27
28 of exposed individuals as well as learning, speech, and language delay, most
29
30 likely independent of overall intelligence.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 The mechanism for exposure to hyperemesis gravidarum and abnormal
39
40 neurodevelopment is unknown, but there are several hypotheses offered in the
41
42 literature. Maternal anxiety and stress are common during HG pregnancies.^{19,20}
43
44 Maternal stress, primarily during the first and second trimesters, has been linked
45
46 to permanent changes in neuroendocrine regulation and behavior in offspring.
47
48 Neuroendocrine regulation is regarded as an important factor underlying both
49
50 attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and depression. Interestingly, animal
51
52 studies convincingly show that stress during pregnancy results in offspring with
53
54 increased anxiety and depressive behavior possibly by altered fetal development
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 of the HPA axis and alterations of regulatory and neurotransmitter systems in the
5
6 brain.^{21,22}
7
8

9 More than a quarter of HG pregnancies result in greater than 15% weight
10 loss and symptoms persist until term in over 20% of pregnancies. This suggests
11 HG can be a form of prolonged starvation.⁹ Studies of the Dutch and Chinese
12 famine reveal that in addition to significant low birth weight, smaller head
13 circumference, and cardiovascular disease, there are more schizophrenia
14 spectrum disorders, congenital anomalies of the central nervous system and
15 antisocial personality disorders among people exposed to famine in the first half
16 of gestation. It is proposed that stunted brain development underlies these
17 associations. Among people exposed in-utero to famine in mid or late gestation,
18 affective disorder occurred more frequently, possibly due to abnormal
19 programming of the HPA-axis.²³
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 While the cause of HG is unknown, hormone dysregulation is widely
37 believed to be the most plausible explanation. Hormones, estrogen in particular,
38 have been linked to development of the central nervous system in murine
39 models.²⁴ While abnormal maternal serum leptin levels are a marker of
40 hyperemesis gravidarum,^{25,26} neonatal hyperleptinaemia has been associated
41 with an increased level of anxiety developing in adult rats.²⁷ The findings
42 described by our data, therefore, may be the result of exposure to abnormal
43 hormone levels during fetal development.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 HG can also lead to physical, psychological, and a financial burden
56 postpartum.⁹ Women with extreme weight loss due to HG are more likely to have
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 longer recovery times, postpartum digestive problems, muscle pain, gall bladder
5
6 dysfunction, and post-traumatic stress disorder. A child with a behavioral
7
8 disorder was reported by 9.3% of these women.⁹ It is possible that these
9
10 conditions may have a negative effect on maternal-infant bonding which in turn
11
12 may contribute to the behavioral abnormalities seen later in life. This theory is
13
14 supported by rodent studies that show maternal care in the first week after birth
15
16 results in epigenetic modification of genes expressed in the brain that shape
17
18 neuroendocrine and behavioral stress responsivity throughout life.²⁸
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 Lastly, severe cases of HG can lead to vitamin deficiency syndromes such
26
27 as maternal Wernicke's Encephalopathy caused by thiamine deficiency and fetal
28
29 intracranial hemorrhage caused by vitamin K deficiency.^{29,30} Reports have linked
30
31 early neonatal vitamin K deficiency to impaired neuronal migration and cortical
32
33 dysplasia.^{31,32} Specific nutritional deficiencies in pregnancy such as deficits of
34
35 folate and vitamin B12 have been linked to disruptions in myelination and
36
37 inflammatory processes in infants and a greater risk of depression in adulthood.³³
38
39 In animal models, prenatal vitamin D deficiency is linked to adverse
40
41 neuropsychiatric outcomes.³⁴ While we can not identify the specific cause of the
42
43 neurodevelopmental delay in this study, the finding that early symptoms are
44
45 significantly associated with neurodevelopmental delay supports the theory that
46
47 very early nutritional deficiencies may play a critical role. Future studies to
48
49 determine whether earlier maternal/fetal supplementation can minimize the
50
51 increased risk of neurodevelopmental delay are needed.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Admittedly there are limitations to the study. The childhood diagnoses are self-reported and therefore may not be accurate. However the rates of diagnoses in the control population (with an average age of 8) are consistent with rates reported in the published literature, for example, ADD/ADHD 5.6% here vs 7.6% reported for ages 5-11; ODD 4.5% here vs 4.6% reported for ages 3-17, suggesting accurate self-reporting.^{35,36} Also, because of the small sample size, we combined all significant adverse outcomes to analyze factors linked to neurodevelopmental delay. Thus although we found no evidence linking combined neurodevelopmental diagnoses to specific medication/treatments, time to first treatment, severity of disease, pytalism, preterm birth, nor to gestational hypertension, this study can not detect whether these factors may be related to individual diagnoses. Interestingly, the only factor significantly linked to neurodevelopmental delay is early onset of symptoms, which was also found in a previous study to be linked to adverse fetal outcome.³⁷ It can be of some comfort for women to know that while antihistamines, which are commonly used to treat HG, were linked to preterm birth in HG pregnancies, there was no evidence of antihistamine exposure being linked to neurodevelopmental delay in children.³⁷ Consistent with our findings, in a recent study, Larrimer et al. (2014), also found no evidence linking adverse neurobehavioral outcomes to common antiemetics, promethazine and ondansetron, in pregnancy.³⁸

One of the strengths of this study comes from the long-standing collaboration with the Hyperemesis Education and Research Foundation that resulted in a unique opportunity to identify a large group of women affected by

1
2
3
4 HG and the ability to collect long-term outcome data. In addition, the study
5
6 design allowed for a significantly well-matched study population. Furthermore,
7
8 by limiting the second part of the study to survey participants with HG, the study
9
10 was able to control for potential confounding genetic factors contributing to HG
11
12 that may also contribute to the child outcome disorders.
13
14

15
16 In conclusion, a significant increase in neurodevelopmental and behavioral
17
18 disorders in children exposed to HG in-utero was demonstrated which suggests
19
20 HG may be linked to life-long effects on the exposed fetus. The cause for this
21
22 association is unknown, but may be due to maternal stress, abnormal hormone
23
24 levels during fetal development and/or maternal-newborn bonding after birth, or
25
26 malnutrition and vitamin deficiency. In addition to the findings reported herein,
27
28 increasing evidence support long-term adverse outcomes associated with HG
29
30 exposure including higher baseline cortisol concentrations, reduction of insulin
31
32 sensitivity, and greater risk of testicular cancer in adulthood.^{39,40} HG is an
33
34 understudied and undertreated condition of pregnancy that can result in not only
35
36 short-term maternal physical and mental health problems, but also, potentially
37
38 life-long consequences to the exposed fetus, especially for those exposed to
39
40 early symptoms.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to our students, Arteen Pirverdian and Erina Szeto for their work on this project. This research was funded, in part, by the Hyperemesis Education and Research Foundation (helper.org).

1. Jiang HG, Elixhauser A, Nicholas J, Steiner C, Reyes C, Brierman AS. Care of women in US hospitals, 2000: HCUP fact book no. 3, no. 02-0044. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2002.
2. Verberg MF, Gillott DJ, Al-Fardan N, Grudzinskas JG. Hyperemesis gravidarum, a literature review. *Hum Reprod Update* 2005;11:527-39.
3. Källén B. Hyperemesis during pregnancy and delivery outcome: a registry study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 1987;26(4):291-302.
4. Zhang J, Cai WW. Severe vomiting during pregnancy: antenatal correlates and fetal outcomes. *Epidemiology* 1991;2:454-7.
5. Chiossi G, Neri I, Cavazutti M, Basso G, Fucchinetti F. Hyperemesis gravidarum complicated by Wernicke's encephalopathy: background, case report and review of the literature. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2006;61:255-68.
6. Bailit JL. Hyperemesis gravidarum: epidemiologic findings from a large cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193:811-4.
7. Fairweather DVI. Nausea and vomiting in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1968;102:135-75.
8. Goodwin TM. Hyperemesis gravidarum. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* 1998; 41, 597–605.
9. Fejzo MS, Poursharif B, Korst LM, et al. Symptoms and pregnancy outcomes associated with extreme weight loss among women with HG. *J Womens Health.* 2009; 18, 1981–1987.
10. van Oppenraaij RH, Jauniaux E, Christiansen OB, Horcajadas JA, Farquharson RG, Exalto N; ESHRE Special Interest Group for Early Pregnancy (SIGEP). Predicting adverse obstetric outcome after early pregnancy events and complications: a review. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2009 Jul-Aug;15(4):409-21.
11. Veenendaal MV, van Abeelen AF, Painter RC, van der Post JA, Roseboom TJ. Consequences of hyperemesis gravidarum for offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG.* 2011 Oct;118(11):1302-13.
12. Roseboom TJ, Ravelli AC, van der Post JA, Painter RC. Maternal characteristics largely explain poor pregnancy outcome after hyperemesis gravidarum. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2011 May;156(1):56-9.
13. Ayyavoo A, Derraik JG, Hofman PL, Cutfield WS. Hyperemesis gravidarum and long-term health of the offspring. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2014 Jun;210(6):521-5.
14. Mullin P, Bray A, Schoenberg-Paik F, MacGibbon K, Romero R, Goodwin TM, Schoenberg Fejzo M. Prenatal Exposure to Hyperemesis Gravidarum Linked to Increased Risk of Psychological and Behavioral Disorders in Adulthood. *J Dev Origins of Disease*, 2:200-204 (2011).

15. Mullin P, Bray A, Vu V, Schoenberg-Paik F, MacGibbon K, Romero R, Goodwin TM, Schoenberg Fejzo M. No increased Risk of Psychological/Behavioral Disorders in Siblings of Women with Hyperemesis Gravidarum unless their Mother had HG. *J Dev Origins of Disease*, 3(5):375-379 (2012).
16. www.helper.org/HER-Research/opportunities.php
17. Martin RP, Wisenbaker J, Huttunen MO. Nausea During Pregnancy: Relation to Early Childhood Temperament and Behavior Problems at Twelve Years. *J Abnl Child Psych* 1999;27(4):323-9.
18. Nulman I, Rovet J, Barrera M, Knittel-Keren D, Feldman BM, Koren G. Long-term neurodevelopment of children exposed to maternal nausea and vomiting of pregnancy and diclectin. *J Pediatr* 2009;155(1):45-50.
19. Pirimoglu ZM, Guzelmeric K, Alpay B, Balcik O, Unal O, Turan MC. Psychological factors of hyperemesis gravidarum by using the SCL-90-R questionnaire. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol* 2010;37(1):56-9.
20. Tan PC, Vani S, Lim BK, Omar SZ. Anxiety and depression in hyperemesis gravidarum: prevalence, risk factors and correlation with clinical severity. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2010;149(2):153-8.
21. Mulder EJ, Robles de Medina PG, Huizink AC, Van den Bergh BR, Buitelaar JK, Visser GH. Prenatal maternal stress: effects on pregnancy and the (unborn) child. *Early Human Development* 2002;70(1-2):3-14.
22. Lazinski M, Shea A, Steiner M. Effects of maternal prenatal stress on offspring development: a commentary. *Arch Wom Ment Health* 2008 Jan (online).
23. Painter RC, Roseboom TJ, Bleker OP. Prenatal exposure to the Dutch famine and disease in later life: an overview. *Reproductive Toxicology* 2005;20(3):345-52.
24. Calza A, Sogliano C, Santoru F, et al. Neonatal exposure to estradiol in rats influences neuroactive steroid concentrations, GABAA receptor expression, and behavioral sensitivity to anxiolytic drugs. *J Neurochem* 2010;113(5):1285-95.
25. Demir B, Erel CT, Haberal A, Ozturk N, Guler D, Kocak M. Adjusted leptin level (ALL) is a predictor for hyperemesis gravidarum. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2006;124(2):193-6.
26. Aka N, Atalay S, Sayharman S, Kilic D, Kose G, Kucukozkan T. Leptin and leptin receptor levels in pregnant women with hyperemesis gravidarum. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2006;46(4):274-7.
27. Fraga-Marques MC, Moura EG, Claudio-Neto S, et al. Neonatal hyperleptinaemia programmes anxiety-like and novelty seeking behaviours but not memory/learning in adult rats. *Horm Behav* 2009;55(2):272-9.

28. Weaver IC. Shaping adult phenotypes through early life environments. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* 2009;87(4):314-26.
29. Chiossi G, Neri I, Cavazzuti M, Basso G, Facchinetti F. Hyperemesis gravidarum complicated by Wernicke encephalopathy: background, case report, and review of the literature. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2006;61(4):255-68.
30. Eventov-Friedman S, Klinger G, Shinwell ES. Third trimester fetal intracranial hemorrhage owing to vitamin K deficiency associated with hyperemesis gravidarum. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2009;31(12):985-8.
31. Toriello HV1, Erick M, Alessandri JL, Bailey D, Brunetti-Pierri N, Cox H, Fryer A, Marty D, McCurdy C, Mulliken JB, Murphy H, Omlor J, Pauli RM, Ranells JD, Sanchez-Valle A, Tobiasz A, Van Maldergem L, Lin AE. Maternal vitamin K deficient embryopathy: association with hyperemesis gravidarum and Crohn disease. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2013 Mar;161A(3):417-29.
32. Bhoj E, Dubbs H, McDonald-McGinn D, Zackai E. Late-onset partial complex seizures secondary to cortical dysplasia in a patient with maternal vitamin K deficient embryopathy: comments on the article by Toriello et al. [2013] and first report of the natural history. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2013 Sep;161A(9):2396-8.
33. Black MM. Effects of vitamin B12 and folate deficiency on brain development in children. *Food Nutr Bull* 2008;29(2 Suppl):S126-31.
34. Harms LR, Eyles DW, McGrath JJ, Mackay-Sim A, Burne TH. Developmental vitamin D deficiency alters adult behaviour in 129/SvJ and C57BL/6J mice. *Behav Brain Res* 2008;187(2):343-50.
35. <http://inclusiveedadhd.weebly.com/prevelence.html>. Accessed Nov. 13, 2014.
36. <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/su6202a1.htm>. Accessed Nov. 13, 2014.
37. Antihistamines and other prognostic factors for adverse outcome in hyperemesis gravidarum. Fejzo MS, Magtira A, Schoenberg FP, MacGibbon K, Mullin P, Romero R, Tabsh K. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2013 Sep;170(1):71-6.
38. Larrimer MB, Dajani NK, Siegel ER, Eswaran H, Newport DJ, Stowe ZN. Antiemetic medications in pregnancy: a prospective investigation of obstetric and neurobehavioral outcomes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2014 Mar;210(3):270.
39. Ayyavoo A, Derraik JG, Hofman PL, Biggs J, Bloomfield FH, Cormack BE, Stone P, Cutfield WS. Severe hyperemesis gravidarum is associated with reduced insulin sensitivity in the offspring in childhood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2013 Aug;98(8):3263-8.
40. Henderson BE, Benton B, Jing J, Yu MC, Pike MC. Risk factors for cancer of the testis in young men. *Int J Cancer*. 1979 May 15;23(5):598-602.

Table 1
Maternal and Child Characteristics

	N = 312	N = 169	
	Children exposed to HG ¹	Children not exposed to HG	P-value
Mean maternal age	28.89	27.27	0.2132
Spontaneous labor	60.08%	70.31%	0.1717
Vaginal delivery	83.40%	84.38%	0.9995
Assisted reproduction	7.69%	3.53%	0.2677
Female gender child	54.81%	51.47%	0.7142
Mean children's age	8.1333	8.5966	0.4378
Preterm Birth	13.46%	7.10%	0.05019
Mean number of children per family	1.54	1.9	0.0015

¹Hyperemesis Gravidarum

Table 2

Increased risk of neurodevelopmental delay (diagnosis in at least one child reported per family) in children exposed to HG.

	N = 203	N = 89 families			
	Families with children exposed to HG¹	Families with children not exposed to HG	P-value	Odds Ratios	95% Confidence Interval
Diagnosis					
ADD/ADHD ²	18.72%	5.62%	0.0064	3.8691	(1.56, 11.55)
LDD ³	12.32%	3.37%	0.0297	4.0262	(1.36, 17.24)
SID/SPD ⁴	19.70%	8.99%	0.0355	2.4847	(1.17, 5.94)
Social Development Delay or Social Anxiety	10.34%	2.25%	0.0333	5.0192	(1.43, 31.83)
SLI ⁵	24.14%	11.24%	0.01783	2.5136	(1.43, 31.83)
ADD/ADHD, LDD, SID or SPD, Social Development Delay or Social Anxiety, and SLI (Combined)	48.77%	22.47%	<0.0005	3.2841	(1.89, 5.92)
Preterm birth	18.23%	8.99%	0.0663	2.2568	(1.05 , 5.42)

¹Hyperemesis Gravidarum

²Attention Deficit Disorder/Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder

³Learning Difficulties Delays

⁴Sensory Integration Disorder/Sensory Processing Disorder

⁵Speech or Language Impairment/Delay

Table 3
Early symptoms associated with neurodevelopmental delay

	ND¹	No ND	P-value
	N = 138	N = 174	
HG² Characteristics:			
Week of NVP ³			
Week 1-4	42.75%	30.46%	0.0332
Week 5-8	52.90%	66.09%	0.0245
Week 9-15	4.35%	2.87%	0.6949
Time of first treatment as:			
Inpatient	10.16	9.94	0.7967
Home health care visit	10.57	11.54	0.36
Outpatient visit	9.03	9.7	0.4451
Time (weeks) to first treatment after nausea began	4.63	4.06	0.3182
Hospitalization:			
“Inpatient” (paired with anything else)	50.72%	46.55%	0.5363
“Home Health Care” (paired with anything else)	55.80%	54.60%	0.9228
“Outpatient” only	22.46%	27.01%	0.4297
Weight gain:			
Week they began gaining weight	20.14	19.05	0.2274
Other:			
Gestational Hypertension	7.97%	7.47%	1
Ptyalism	35.51%	35.06%	1
Preterm birth	13.77%	13.22%	1

¹Neurodevelopmental Delay

²Hyperemesis Gravidarum

³Nausea and Vomiting of Pregnancy

Table 4**Medications/Treatments taken during first and/or second trimester not linked to neurodevelopmental delay**

	N = 138		N = 174		
Treatments and Medications	HG ^a with ND ^b	N	HG No ND	N	P-value
Allergy	1.45%	2	0.00%	0	0.3794
Antacids [e.g. Zantac (Ranitidine), Pepcid (Famotidine)]	58.70%	81	51.72%	90	0.2651
Antibiotics (for <i>Helicobacter pylori</i>)	2.17%	3	1.15%	2	0.7934
Antidepressants/Antianxiety ^c	12.32%	17	9.20%	16	0.4804
Antihistamines ^d	34.78%	48	35.06%	61	1
Antimotion sickness medications ^e	19.57%	27	16.09%	28	0.5157
Anzemet (Dolasetron)	3.62%	5	2.30%	4	0.7236
B6 injection	6.52%	9	9.77%	17	0.4095
Bedrest	64.49%	89	52.30%	91	0.0404
Compazine/stemetil/buccastem (prochlorperazine)	21.01%	29	13.22%	23	0.0925
Diclectin	7.97%	11	8.62%	15	1
Motilium (domperidone)	1.45%	2	0.57%	1	0.8398
Emend (aprepitant)	0.00%	0	0.57%	1	1
Gastric pacing	0.72%	1	0.57%	1	1
Herbal medicine	13.04%	18	11.49%	20	0.8093
Homeopathics	12.32%	17	11.49%	20	0.9621
Intravenous Therapy	81.88%	113	79.31%	138	0.6704
Kytril (granisetron)	0.72%	1	0.57%	1	1
Solu-medrol/medrol (Methylprednisolone)	9.42%	13	8.05%	14	0.8211
NG° (nasal to stomach) tube feedings	1.45%	2	1.72%	3	1
PICC (peripherally inserted central catheter)	23.19%	32	14.94%	26	0.0867
Physical Therapy	0.72%	1	0.57%	1	1
Psychotherapy/counseling	7.25%	10	7.47%	13	1
Phenergan/lergigan/avomine (promethazine)	54.35%	75	51.15%	89	0.6543
Protonix/prevacid (lansoprazole)	10.87%	15	5.75%	10	0.1484
Reglan/maxeran/maxolone (metoclopramide)	44.20%	61	50.57%	88	0.3149
Scopolamine (scopolamine hydrobromide)	5.07%	7	5.17%	9	1
Seabands/relief bands	53.62%	74	48.85%	85	0.4694
SpecialDiet (bland, low fat, low acid)	54.35%	75	48.85%	85	0.3949
Tagamet (cimetidine)	2.90%	4	2.87%	5	1
Thorazine (chlorpromazine), Haldol (haloperidol)	0.72%	1	1.72%	3	0.785
Tigan/Vomet (trimethobenzamide)	6.52%	9	3.45%	6	0.3202
TPN/TPPN (total IV nutrition or hyperalimentation)	15.22%	21	10.92%	19	0.3384
Acupuncture	12.32%	17	16.67%	29	0.3602
Vitamins (taken orally-pyridoxine, etc.)	43.48%	60	40.23%	70	0.6438
Vitamins (taken intravenously-i.v.)	26.09%	36	20.69%	36	0.3229
Zofran (ondansetron)	71.74%	99	65.52%	114	0.2936

^a **Hyperemesis Gravidarum**^b **Neurodevelopmental Delay**^c **Prozac (fluoxetine), Wellbutrin (bupropion), Zoloft (sertraline), Paxil (paroxetine), Ativan (lorazepam).**^d **Benadryl (diphenhydramine), Gravol (dimenhydrinate), Unisom (doxylamine), Vistaril/Atarax (hydroxyzine), Diclectin/Bendectin (doxylamine and pyridoxine)**^e **Marezine (cyclizine), Dramamine (dimenhydrinate), Bonine/Antivert (meclizine)**