

University of California, Los Angeles
Department of Statistics

Statistics 100B

Instructor: Nicolas Christou

Practice 4 - solutions

EXERCISE 1

We know that $X \sim b(n, p)$.

- a. The likelihood function is $L(p) = p^x(1-p)^{n-x}$ and the log-likelihood $\ln L(p) = x \ln p + (n-x) \ln(1-p)$. To find the value of p that maximizes the log-likelihood we differentiate the previous expression w.r.t. p and set it equal to zero: $\frac{\partial \ln L(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{x}{p} - \frac{n-x}{1-p} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{x}{n}$.
- b. We first find the variance of \hat{p} . $Var(\hat{p}) = Var(\frac{x}{n}) = \frac{Var(x)}{n^2} = \frac{np(1-p)}{n^2} \Rightarrow Var(\hat{p}) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$. Now we must show that this is equal to the lower bound of the Cramer-Rao inequality. We need the second derivative of the log-pdf function (Bernoulli function $P(Y = y) = p^y(1-p)^{1-y}$): $\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(y)}{\partial p^2} = -\frac{y}{p^2} - \frac{1-y}{(1-p)^2}$. Therefore $E(-\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(y)}{\partial p^2}) = -\frac{p}{p^2} - \frac{1-p}{(1-p)^2} = -\frac{1}{p(1-p)}$. The Cramer-Rao inequality says that any unbiased estimator must have variance at least: $Var(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{nE(-\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(y)}{\partial p^2})}$. Therefore $Var(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{n \cdot \frac{1}{p(1-p)}} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$, which is the variance of \hat{p} . Therefore the mle of p attains the Cramer-Rao inequality.
- c. When $n = 10, X = 5$ the log-likelihood function is $\ln L(p) = 5 \ln p + (10-5) \ln(1-p)$. Place $\ln L(p)$ on the vertical axis and p on the horizontal axis. Then compute $\ln L(p)$ for different values of p (try $p = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$). You will see that the maximum of the log-likelihood function is found when $p = 0.5$ which is the mle $\hat{p} = \frac{x}{n} = \frac{5}{10} = 0.5$.

EXERCISE 2

We need the likelihood function which is $L(p) = p^n(1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n}$. The log-likelihood is $\ln L(p) = n \ln p + (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n) \ln(1-p)$ and maximizing it w.r.t. p we get $\frac{\partial \ln L(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n}{1-p} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{n}{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n}{1-p} \Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$.

EXERCISE 3

Since μ is known, the maximum likelihood estimator of σ^2 is $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$. Its expected value is $E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i - \mu)^2 = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n} = \sigma^2$. Therefore it is unbiased.

EXERCISE 4

We must first compute the variance of $\hat{\mu}$ and \bar{x} . They are: $Var(\hat{\mu}) = Var(\frac{x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3}{4}) = \frac{6\sigma^2}{16}$ and $Var(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{3}$. The relative efficiency of $\hat{\mu}$ with respect to \bar{x} is $\frac{\bar{x}}{\hat{\mu}} = \frac{\frac{\sigma^2}{3}}{\frac{6\sigma^2}{16}} = \frac{8}{9}$.

EXERCISE 5

We are given $X_1 \sim N(\mu, \sigma_1)$, and $X_2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma_2)$. Also $0 \leq w \leq 1$.

- a. $E(w\bar{x}_1 + (1-w)\bar{x}_2) = wE(\bar{x}_1) + (1-w)E(\bar{x}_2) = w\mu + (1-w)\mu = \mu$.
- b. We want to minimize the variance of $w\bar{x}_1 + (1-w)\bar{x}_2$.
minimize $Var(w\bar{x}_1 + (1-w)\bar{x}_2)$ w.r.t w
minimize $w^2 \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} + (1-w)^2 \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n}$ w.r.t. w
Or $2w \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} - 2(1-w) \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n} = 0 \Rightarrow w\sigma_1^2 - (1-w)\sigma_2^2 = 0 \Rightarrow w = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$.

EXERCISE 6

Find the mle of the parameter λ of the Poisson distribution:

The likelihood function is $L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda n} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{x_1! \cdots x_n!}$, and the log-likelihood function is $\ln L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = -\lambda n + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln \lambda - \ln(x_1! \cdots x_n!)$. We want to find the value of λ that maximizes the previous expression:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \lambda} = -n + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \bar{x}.$$

EXERCISE 7

We need to show that $\frac{x}{n}$ is unbiased estimator of p and that $Var(\frac{x}{n}) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 $E(\frac{x}{n}) = \frac{np}{n} = p$, so it is unbiased.

$Var(\frac{x}{n}) = \frac{np(1-p)}{n^2} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$ which is equal to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Therefore $\frac{x}{n}$ is a consistent estimator of p .

EXERCISE 8

We know that $\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$. We need to show that s^2 is unbiased estimator of σ^2 and that $\text{vars}^2 = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$E(\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}) = n-1 \Rightarrow Es^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{n-1}{n-1} = \sigma^2$, so it is unbiased.

$\text{Var}(\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}) = 2(n-1) \Rightarrow \text{Var}s^2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{(n-1)^2} \sigma^4 \Rightarrow \text{Var}s^2 = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}$ which is equal to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore s^2 is a consistent estimator of σ^2 .

EXERCISE 9

The estimate of p is $\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n}$, and the estimate of σ^2 is $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{\frac{n}{n-1}} = \frac{\frac{X}{n}(1-\frac{X}{n})}{\frac{n}{n-1}}$. To see whether $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 we need to find its expected value. We will need $E(X) = np$, and $E(X^2) = \sigma^2 + \mu^2 = np(1-p) + (np)^2 = np - np^2 + n^2p^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= E\left(\frac{\frac{X}{n}(1-\frac{X}{n})}{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right) = \\ E\left(\frac{X}{n^2} - \frac{X^2}{n^3}\right) &= \frac{1}{n^2}E(X) - \frac{1}{n^3}E(X^2) = \\ \frac{1}{n^2}np - \frac{1}{n^3}(np - np^2 + n^2p^2) &= \frac{p}{n} - \frac{p}{n^2} + \frac{p^2}{n^2} - \frac{p^2}{n} = \\ \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)(p - p^2) &= \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)p(1-p) \Rightarrow \\ E(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\frac{p(1-p)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

It is not unbiased but we can multiply it by the reciprocal of $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ which is $\frac{n}{n-1}$ to make it unbiased. Therefore, $\frac{n}{n-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$ is unbiased estimator of $\frac{p(1-p)}{n}$.

EXERCISE 10

We are given that $X_i \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{w_i}})$. The likelihood function of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is

$$L = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{w_i} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x_i - \mu)^2}.$$

And the log-likelihood is

$$\ln L = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) + \ln \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{w_i} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x_i - \mu)^2.$$

First we find the mle of μ :

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x_i - \mu) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mu \Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}.$$

Now the mle of σ^2 :

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x_i - \mu)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow n = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x_i - \hat{\mu})^2.$$

where $\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$.

EXERCISE 11

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be an i.i.d. random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma)$.

a. Which of the following estimates is unbiased? Show all your work.

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n}, \quad S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}$$

Answer:

The sample variance (S^2) is unbiased (please see class notes). The mle of σ^2 is biased. We can write it as follows:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n} = \frac{\sigma^2 (n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E\left(\frac{\sigma^2 (n-1)S^2}{n}\right) = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2$$

- b. Which of the estimates of part (a) has the smaller MSE ? The MSE is equal to: $MSE = Var(\hat{\theta}) + B^2$. We need to find the variance of each of the estimators. We have shown in class that $Var(S^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}$. To find the variance of $\hat{\sigma}^2$:

$$Var(\hat{\sigma}^2) = Var\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \sigma^4.$$

The bias of S^2 is zero (it is unbiased). Therefore,

$$MSE(S^2) = Var(S^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}.$$

The bias of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is equal to:

$$B = E(\hat{\sigma}^2) - \sigma^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 = -\frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

And its MSE is equal to:

$$MSE(\hat{\sigma}^2) = Var(\hat{\sigma}^2) + B^2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \sigma^4 + \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} = \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^4.$$

We can easily see that

$$\frac{MSE(\hat{\sigma}^2)}{MSE(S^2)} < 1$$

EXERCISE 12

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be an i.i.d. random sample from a normal population with mean zero and unknown variance σ^2 .

- a. Find the maximum likelihood estimate of σ^2 .

Answer:

$$L = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

$$\ln L = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 0$$

Solve for σ^2 to get: $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}$.

- b. Show that the estimate of part (a) is unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

Answer:

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - 0}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} E(\chi_n^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} n = \sigma^2.$$

- c. Find the variance of the estimate of part (a). Is it consistent?

Answer:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}\right) = \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - 0}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) = \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \text{Var}(\chi_n^2) = \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} 2n = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}.$$

It is consistent because it is unbiased and its variance is equal to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \sigma^2$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} = 0$$

- d. Show that the variance of the estimate of part (a) is equal to the Cramer-Rao lower bound.

Answer:

$$\ln f(x) = \ln(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-0}{\sigma}\right)^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}.$$

We find now the first and second derivatives w.r.t. σ^2 .

$$\frac{\partial \ln f(x)}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} x^2.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x)}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{x^2}{\sigma^6}.$$

$$E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x)}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2}\right) = E\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{x^2}{\sigma^6}\right) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{EX^2}{\sigma^6} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^4}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{-nE\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x)}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2}\right)} = \frac{1}{-n\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^4}\right)} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}.$$

Therefore, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is MVUE.