# University of California, Los Angeles Department of Statistics

## Statistics 100B

# Instructor: Nicolas Christou

Homework 10

### Exercise 1

Suppose X has the possible values 0,1,2,3,4. Suppose that the null hypothesis says that X is uniform on these integers, while the alternative hypothesis says that  $X \sim b(4, \frac{1}{2})$ . Let's see what happens if we let k of the Neyman-Pearson lemma be equal to 0.6. Complete the next table and find the best critical region when k = 0.6 and compute the power of the test.

	0	1	2	3	4
$P(X = x H_0)$					
$P(X = x   H_a)$					
$\frac{P(X=x H_0)}{P(X=x H_a)}$					

## Exercise 2

Let X be a random variable whose probability mass function under  $H_0$  and  $H_a$  is given by

x	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$f(x H_0)$	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.94
$f(x H_a)$	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.79

Use the Neyman-Pearson lemma to find the most powerful test for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_a$  with  $\alpha = 0.04$ . Compute the probability of Type II error for this test.

#### Exercise 3

It is known that the random variable X has a probability density function of the form  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}$ . We want to test the hypothesis  $H_0: \theta = 2$  against  $H_a: \theta = 4$ . A random sample  $X_1, X_2$  of size n = 2 will be selected. Suppose the rejection region is given by  $X_1 + X_2 > 9.49$ . Calculate the Type I and Type II error probabilities. For the Type I error probability you should use your  $\chi^2$  table. For the Type II error probability you may find the following table useful. Please show all your work.

$\chi^2$	$P(\chi^2_{df} < 9.49)$	$P(\chi_{df}^2 < \frac{9.49}{2})$	$P(\chi_{df}^2 < 2 \times 9.49)$
$\chi^2_2$ $\chi^2_1$	0.9913	0.9068	0.9999
$\chi^{2}_{4}$	0.9500	0.6855	0.9992
$\chi_8^{\tilde{2}}$	0.6973	0.2155	0.9850

#### Exercise 4

A coin is thrown independently 10 times to test that the probability of heads is  $\frac{1}{2}$  against the alternative that the probability is not  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The test rejects  $H_0$  if either 0 or 10 heads are observed.

- a. What is the significance level  $\alpha$  of the test?
- b. If in fact the probability of heads is 0.1, what is the power of the test?

#### Exercise 5

Let X be a uniform random variable on  $(0, \theta)$ . You have exactly one observation from this distribution and you want to test the null hypothesis  $H_0: \theta = 10$  against the alternative  $H_a: \theta > 10$ , and you want to use significance level  $\alpha = 0.10$ . Two testing procedures are being considered: Procedure G rejects  $H_0$  if and only if  $X \ge 9$ .

Procedure K rejects  $H_0$  if either  $X \ge 9.5$  or if  $X \le 0.5$ .

- a. Confirm that Procedure G has a Type I error probability of 0.10.
- b. Confirm that Procedure K has a Type I error probability of 0.10.
- c. Find the power of Procedure G when  $\theta = 12$ .
- d. Find the power of Procedure K when  $\theta = 12$ .

## Exercise 6

Answer the following questions:

a. Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  denote a random sample from a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Find the best critical region for testing

 $H_0: \lambda = 2$  $H_a: \lambda = 5$ using the Neyman-Pearson lemma.

b. Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  be the outcomes of *n* independent Bernoulli trials. Find the best critical region for testing

 $H_0: p = p_0$  $H_a: p > p_0$ using the Neyman-Pearson lemma.

#### Exercise 7

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample from an exponential distribution with parameter  $\theta$ . Answer the following questions: Derive a likelihood ratio test for testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_a: \theta \neq \theta_0$ , and show that the rejection region is of the form  $\{\bar{X}exp(-\theta_0\bar{X})\} < c$ . Suppose  $\alpha = 0.05$  and  $\theta_0 = 1$ . Explain why c should be chosen so that  $P(\bar{X}exp(-\bar{X}) < c) = 0.05$ .

#### Exercise 8

Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. Poisson $(\lambda_1)$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. Poisson $(\lambda_2)$ . The two samples are independent. Find the most powerful test for testing  $H_0: \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$  against  $H_a: \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \lambda_2 = 3$ .