

Weil conjectures and étale cohomology

Haoyu Hu

June 2, 2021

– Undergraduate Forum, –
Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University

Contents

- Riemann zeta function
- Weil conjectures
- Étale cohomology theory
- Approaches to Weil conjectures
- Further developments

Riemann zeta function

Definition

The Riemann Zeta function $\zeta(s)$ ($s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$) is

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

Riemann zeta function

Definition

The Riemann Zeta function $\zeta(s)$ ($s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$) is

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

This expression defines a meromorphic function on the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$. It was firstly studied by Euler as a real function. Riemann extended Euler's definition to a complex variable.

Theorem (Euler product formula)

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, we have

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

where \mathbb{P} is the set of prime numbers.

Theorem (Euler product formula)

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, we have

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

where \mathbb{P} is the set of prime numbers.

Eular product links Riemann zeta function (analysis) with prime numbers (number theory).

In his seminal article "*Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter eine gegebene Grösse*" (*On the Number of Primes Less Than a Given Magnitude*) in 1859, Riemann gave a functional equation of zeta function and proved a meromorphic continuation:

Theorem

The function $\Lambda(s) = (s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2+1)\zeta(s)$ has an analytic continuation in \mathbb{C} . We have $\Lambda(s) = \Lambda(1-s)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Here $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{s-1}dt$ denotes the Γ -function.

In particular, $\zeta(s)$ has an analytic continuation in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ with a single pole at $s = 1$.

Conjecture (Riemann Hypothesis, 1859)

All non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lies on the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The conjecture is still open.

Theorem (Dirichlet, 1826)

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, m) = 1$. Then there are infinitely many prime numbers in $\{n, n + m, n + 2m, n + 3m \cdots\}$.

Theorem (Dirichlet, 1826)

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, m) = 1$. Then there are infinitely many prime numbers in $\{n, n + m, n + 2m, n + 3m \cdots\}$.

key point: Dirichlet L -function and the single pole of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$.

Theorem (Dirichlet, 1826)

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, m) = 1$. Then there are infinitely many prime numbers in $\{n, n + m, n + 2m, n + 3m \dots\}$.

key point: Dirichlet L -function and the single pole of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$.

Theorem (Hadamard and de la Vallée-Poussin, 1896)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(n)\pi(n)}{n} = 1,$$

where $\pi(n) = \#\{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \leq n\}$.

Theorem (Dirichlet, 1826)

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, m) = 1$. Then there are infinitely many prime numbers in $\{n, n + m, n + 2m, n + 3m \cdots\}$.

key point: Dirichlet L -function and the single pole of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$.

Theorem (Hadamard and de la Vallée-Poussin, 1896)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(n)\pi(n)}{n} = 1,$$

where $\pi(n) = \#\{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \leq n\}$.

key point: $\zeta(1 + t\sqrt{-1}) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem (Dirichlet, 1826)

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, m) = 1$. Then there are infinitely many prime numbers in $\{n, n + m, n + 2m, n + 3m \cdots\}$.

key point: Dirichlet L -function and the single pole of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$.

Theorem (Hadamard and de la Vallée-Poussin, 1896)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(n)\pi(n)}{n} = 1,$$

where $\pi(n) = \#\{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \leq n\}$.

key point: $\zeta(1 + t\sqrt{-1}) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

- If Riemann conjecture is proved, we will have more accurate estimations for the distribution of prime numbers.

Weil Conjectures

spaces



functions

 X (compact Hausdroff) $C(X)$ $X = \text{Max}(C(X))$  $C(X)$

In algebraic geometry, we study the space of zeros of polynomials of several variables:

$$X = \{\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid f_1(\underline{x}) = f_2(\underline{x}) = \dots = f_m(\underline{x}) = 0, f_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]\}$$

where k is an algebraically closed field.

Definition

Let k be an arbitrary field and $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ a domain. The set of maximal ideals

$$X = \text{Max}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m))$$

is called an *affine algebraic variety* over k .

Definition

Let A be a commutative ring (with a multiplicity identity 1), the set

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A) = \{\text{prime ideals of } A\}$$

is called the *spectrum* of A . The space $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is called an *affine scheme*.

Definition

Let A be a commutative ring (with a multiplicity identity 1), the set

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A) = \{\text{prime ideals of } A\}$$

is called the *spectrum* of A . The space $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is called an *affine scheme*.

The definition of an affine scheme is more general than that of an affine variety.

A natural topology on $X = \text{Max}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m))$ (resp. on $\text{Spec}(A)$) has the base

$$\{D_f \mid \mathfrak{m} \in D_f \text{ iff } f \notin \mathfrak{m}\}_{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m)},$$

$$(\text{resp. } \{D_f \mid \mathfrak{p} \in D_f \text{ iff } f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}_{f \in A}).$$

The topology above is called the *Zariski topology*.

A nature topology on $X = \text{Max}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m))$ (resp. on $\text{Spec}(A)$) has the base

$$\{D_f \mid \mathfrak{m} \in D_f \text{ iff } f \notin \mathfrak{m}\}_{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m)},$$
$$(\text{resp. } \{D_f \mid \mathfrak{p} \in D_f \text{ iff } f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}_{f \in A}).$$

The topology above is called the *Zariski topology*.

- An algebraic variety over k is a glue of finitely many affine varieties over k and separated over k ($\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_k X$ is a closed immersion).
- A scheme is a glue of affine schemes.
- A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between schemes is a glue of $f_{ij} : \text{Spec}(B_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_j)$, which corresponds to a ring homomorphism $f_{ij}^* : A_j \rightarrow B_i$. A morphism between schemes is continuous with respect to their Zariski topologies.

Arithmetic:

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, \dots\} \bigcup \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$$

Algebra:

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q[x]) = \{\text{irr. poly. in } \mathbb{F}_q[x]\} \bigcup \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q(x))$$

Geometry:

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x]) = \{\text{complex plane}\} \bigcup \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(x))$$

Arithmetic:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s) &= \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p \in |\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})|} \frac{1}{1 - (\sharp(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}))^{-s}}\end{aligned}$$

Arithmetic:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s) &= \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p \in |\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})|} \frac{1}{1 - (\sharp(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}))^{-s}}\end{aligned}$$

Algebra:

$$\zeta(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1, s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in |\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q[x])|} \frac{1}{1 - (\sharp(\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\mathfrak{p}))^{-s}}$$

More generally,

$$\zeta(X, s) = \prod_{x \in |X|} \frac{1}{1 - (\#(k(x)))^{-s}},$$

where X is an algebraic variety over \mathbb{F}_q ($q = p^m$ for a prime ideal p);

$|X|$ is the set of closed points (maximal ideals) of X ;

$k(x) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$ is the residue field of X at x (it is a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_q).

More generally,

$$\zeta(X, s) = \prod_{x \in |X|} \frac{1}{1 - (\#(k(x)))^{-s}},$$

where X is an algebraic variety over \mathbb{F}_q ($q = p^m$ for a prime ideal p);

$|X|$ is the set of closed points (maximal ideals) of X ;

$k(x) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$ is the residue field of X at x (it is a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_q).

We call $\deg(x) = [k(x) : \mathbb{F}_q]$ the degree of x . We put $T = q^{-s}$.

$$\zeta(X, s) = Z(X, T) = \prod_{x \in |X|} \frac{1}{1 - T^{\deg(x)}}$$

We denote by $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ the set of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} rational points of X (i.e., the set $\text{Hom}_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}), X)$). We have $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})) = \sum_{\deg(x)|m} \deg(x)$.

We denote by $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ the set of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} rational points of X (i.e., the set $\text{Hom}_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}), X)$). We have $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})) = \sum_{\deg(x)|m} \deg(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z(X, T) &= \exp(\ln Z(X, T)) \\
 &= \exp\left(\sum_{x \in |X|} -\ln(1 - T^{\deg x})\right) \\
 &= \exp\left(\sum_{x \in |X|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^{n \deg(x)}}{n}\right) \\
 &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\deg(x)|m} \deg(x) \frac{T^m}{m}\right)\right) \\
 &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})) \frac{T^m}{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]].
 \end{aligned}$$

Example

$X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \cup \{\infty\}$. We have $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = q^m + 1$, for any $m \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1, T) &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \#(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})) \frac{T^m}{m}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (q^m + 1) \frac{T^m}{m}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^m}{m}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(qT)^m}{m}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-T)(1-qT)} \end{aligned}$$

Example

X is a projective curve over \mathbb{F}_q of genus $g > 0$. We have

$$Z(X, T) = \frac{P_X(T)}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)},$$

where $P_X(T)$ is a polynomial of degree $2g$ in $\mathbb{Z}[T]$.

Example

X is a projective curve over \mathbb{F}_q of genus $g > 0$. We have

$$Z(X, T) = \frac{P_X(T)}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)},$$

where $P_X(T)$ is a polynomial of degree $2g$ in $\mathbb{Z}[T]$.

Weil proved an analogue of Riemann Hypothesis for curves: any zero of $P_X(q^{-s})$ lies on the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1/2$ (i.e., any root α of $P_X(T) = 0$ satisfies $|\alpha| = q^{-1/2}$).

Conjecture (Weil conjectures)

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d . Then, we have

(A) (Analytic Continuation) $Z(X, T)$ is a rational function.

(B) (Functional Equation) $Z(X, T)$ has a functional equation

$$Z\left(X, \frac{1}{q^dT}\right) = \pm q^{d\chi(X)/2} T^{\chi(X)} Z(X, T)$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of X .

(C) (Riemann Hypothesis)

$$Z(X, T) = \frac{P_1(T)P_3(T) \cdots P_{2d-1}(T)}{P_0(X)P_2(T) \cdots P_{2d}(T)}$$

where $P_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$, $P_0(T) = 1 - T$, $P_{2d}(T) = 1 - q^dT$. Any root of $P_i(T) = 0$ has absolute value $q^{-i/2}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 2d$).

- The curve case is due to Hasse and Weil (1940s). Weil guess these conjectures connect with a nice cohomology theory $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It is called Weil cohomology theory by others mathematicians.

- The curve case is due to Hasse and Weil (1940s). Weil guess these conjectures connect with a nice cohomology theory $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It is called Weil cohomology theory by others mathematicians.
- Dwork proved (A) using a p -adic analytic method (1960).

- The curve case is due to Hasse and Weil (1940s). Weil guess these conjectures connect with a nice cohomology theory $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It is called Weil cohomology theory by others mathematicians.
- Dwork proved (A) using a p -adic analytic method (1960).
- A milestone achievement is due to Grothendieck *et al.*. They developed the theory of étale cohomology in SGA. Using this cohomology theory, Grothendieck obtained (A), (B) and gave a cohomology description of $P_i(T)$ in (C) (1960s).

- The curve case is due to Hasse and Weil (1940s). Weil guess these conjectures connect with a nice cohomology theory $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It is called Weil cohomology theory by others mathematicians.
- Dwork proved (A) using a p -adic analytic method (1960).
- A milestone achievement is due to Grothendieck *et al.*. They developed the theory of étale cohomology in SGA. Using this cohomology theory, Grothendieck obtained (A), (B) and gave a cohomology description of $P_i(T)$ in (C) (1960s).
- Grothendieck also proposed a famous conjecture called *standard conjecture* which implies (C). However it is still open now.

- The curve case is due to Hasse and Weil (1940s). Weil guess these conjectures connect with a nice cohomology theory $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It is called Weil cohomology theory by others mathematicians.
- Dwork proved (A) using a p -adic analytic method (1960).
- A milestone achievement is due to Grothendieck *et al.*. They developed the theory of étale cohomology in SGA. Using this cohomology theory, Grothendieck obtained (A), (B) and gave a cohomology description of $P_i(T)$ in (C) (1960s).
- Grothendieck also proposed a famous conjecture called *standard conjecture* which implies (C). However it is still open now.
- Deligne brought the notion of *weight* to ℓ -adic sheaves and finished the proof of Weil conjectures. He also proved Weil conjectures for L -functions (1973, 1980).

Étale cohomology

Definition

A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between schemes is called *étale* if

- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat, i.e., it is a glue of morphisms of affine schemes $f_{ij} : \operatorname{Spec}(B_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A_j)$ where B_i are flat A_j -algebras.
- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *unramified*, i.e., $f^{-1}(y)$ is discrete and the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is an open immersion.

Étale cohomology

Definition

A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between schemes is called *étale* if

- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat, i.e., it is a glue of morphisms of affine schemes $f_{ij} : \operatorname{Spec}(B_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A_j)$ where B_i are flat A_j -algebras.
- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *unramified*, i.e., $f^{-1}(y)$ is discrete and the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is an open immersion.

Definition (very vague)

An *étale topology* of a scheme Y is a category Y_{et} with all étale morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as its objects and X -morphisms as morphisms between objects. A morphism $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ is called an *étale cover* if it is surjective and étale.

Étale cohomology

Definition

A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between schemes is called *étale* if

- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat, i.e., it is a glue of morphisms of affine schemes $f_{ij} : \operatorname{Spec}(B_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A_j)$ where B_i are flat A_j -algebras.
- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *unramified*, i.e., $f^{-1}(y)$ is discrete and the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is an open immersion.

Definition (very vague)

An *étale topology* of a scheme Y is a category Y_{et} with all étale morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as its objects and X -morphisms as morphisms between objects. A morphism $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ is called an *étale cover* if it is surjective and étale.

Example

(1) Let K be a field. A morphism from a connected scheme X to $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$ is étale if $X = \mathrm{Spec}(L)$, where L/K is a finite separable extension.

(2) The morphism

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]), \quad a \mapsto a^n$$

is étale for any $n \geq 1$.

(3) Open immersions are étale.

(4) The morphism $F : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ induced by

$$\mathbb{F}_q[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x], \quad x \mapsto x^q$$

is not étale. (relative Frobenius)

Definition

A *presheaf of abelian groups* on a category \mathcal{C} is a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to the category of abelian groups.

Definition

A *presheaf of abelian groups* on a category \mathcal{C} is a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to the category of abelian groups.

Definition

An *étale presheaf* on a scheme X is a contravariant functor from X_{et} to the category of abelian groups.

Definition

A *presheaf of abelian groups* on a category \mathcal{C} is a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to the category of abelian groups.

Definition

An *étale presheaf* on a scheme X is a contravariant functor from $X_{\text{ét}}$ to the category of abelian groups.

Definition

An *étale sheaf* \mathcal{F} on a scheme X is an étale presheaf on X satisfying the following extra condition:

for any surjective morphism $g : V \rightarrow U$ between schemes étale over X , we have an exact sequence of abelian schemes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F}(V \times_U V).$$

The category of étale sheaves on X is denoted by $\widetilde{X}_{\text{ét}}$.

Example

Let K be a field and let \overline{K} be a separable closure of K . Let n be an integer invertible in K . The following two categories are equivalent:

- The category of étale sheaves of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules on $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$.
- The category of finitely dimensional representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ with the coefficient $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Let K be a field and let \overline{K} be a separable closure of K . Let n be an integer invertible in K . The following two categories are equivalent:

- The category of étale sheaves of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules on $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$.
- The category of finitely dimensional representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ with the coefficient $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Let X be a variety over a field K . Let n be an integer invertible in K . The following two categories are equivalent:

- The category of locally constant étale sheaves of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules on X .
- The category of finitely dimensional representations of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ét}}(X, \bar{x})$ with the coefficient $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

$\pi_1^{\mathrm{ét}}(X, \bar{x})$ is called the *étale fundamental group* of X .

Definition

Let X be a scheme and \mathcal{F} an étale sheaf on X . The i -th right derived functor of

$$\Gamma(X, -) : \tilde{X}_{\text{et}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}, \quad \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X)$$

is called the i -th étale cohomology group. We denote it by $H_{\text{et}}^i(X, -) = R^i\Gamma(X, -)$.

Example

Let C be a connected projective and smooth curve over an algebraically closed field k , let ℓ be a prime number invertible in k and let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$ be a constant étale sheaf on X . we have

$$H_{\text{et}}^0(C, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda, \quad H_{\text{et}}^1(C, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda^{2g}, \quad H_{\text{et}}^2(C, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda.$$

Example

Let X be a smooth variety over \mathbb{C} . We have

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Example

Let C be a connected projective and smooth curve over an algebraically closed field k , let ℓ be a prime number invertible in k and let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$ be a constant étale sheaf on X . we have

$$H_{\text{et}}^0(C, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda, \quad H_{\text{et}}^1(C, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda^{2g}, \quad H_{\text{et}}^2(C, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda.$$

Example

Let X be a smooth variety over \mathbb{C} . We have

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Zariski topology is too far away from singular topology. Let X be a variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension d and \mathcal{F} a Zariski sheaf on X . We have $H^r(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $r > d$.

Definition

Let X be a variety over a field K and let ℓ be a prime number invertible in K . The group

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

is called the i -th ℓ -adic cohomology of X .

Definition

Let X be a variety over a field K and let ℓ be a prime number invertible in K . The group

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

is called the i -th ℓ -adic cohomology of X .

All $H_{\text{et}}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are finitely dimensional \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector spaces.

Definition

Let X be a variety over a field K and let ℓ be a prime number invertible in K . The group

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \varprojlim_n H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

is called the i -th ℓ -adic cohomology of X .

All $H_{\text{et}}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are finitely dimensional \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector spaces.

Example

We fix an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \cong \mathbb{C}$. For any smooth variety over \mathbb{C} , we have

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \cong H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q . We put $\overline{X} = X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. The topological generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ induces a $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -morphism $F : X \rightarrow X$, called *relative Frobenius*. It induces linear maps

$$F^* : H_{\mathrm{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

Approaches to Weil conjectures

Theorem (Lefschetz fix point theorem, due to Grothendieck)

Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an endomorphism of the projective variety Y over an algebraically closed field K with finitely many fix point. Let ℓ be a prime number invertible in K . Then

$$|Y^f| = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim Y} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(f^* | H_{\text{et}}^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)).$$

Approaches to Weil conjectures

Theorem (Lefschetz fix point theorem, due to Grothendieck)

Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an endomorphism of the projective variety Y over an algebraically closed field K with finitely many fix point. Let ℓ be a prime number invertible in K . Then

$$|Y^f| = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim Y} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(f^* | H_{\text{et}}^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)).$$

Let X be a projective and smooth variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d . Applying Lefschetz fix point theorem, we have

$$\#(X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})) = |\overline{X}^{F^n}| = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(F^{*n} | H_{\text{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

Corollary (Grothendieck)

*Let X be a projective and smooth variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d .
Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X, T) &= \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#(X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})) \frac{T^n}{n}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{2d} \det(1 - F^*T | H_{\text{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))^{(-1)^{i+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary (Grothendieck)

Let X be a projective and smooth variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X, T) &= \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#(X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})) \frac{T^n}{n}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{2d} \det(1 - F^*T | H_{\text{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))^{(-1)^{i+1}} \end{aligned}$$

It answers (A) and a part of (C) of Weil conjectures.

Theorem (Poincaré duality, due to Grothendieck)

Let Y be a projective and smooth variety over an algebraically closed field K of dimension d . Let ℓ be a prime number invertible in K . Then,

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \times H_{\text{et}}^{2d-i}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(d) \xrightarrow{\cup} H_{\text{et}}^{2d}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

are perfect parings of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector spaces.

Theorem (Poincaré duality, due to Grothendieck)

Let Y be a projective and smooth variety over an algebraically closed field K of dimension d . Let ℓ be a prime number invertible in K . Then,

$$H_{\text{et}}^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \times H_{\text{et}}^{2d-i}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(d) \xrightarrow{\cup} H_{\text{et}}^{2d}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

are perfect parings of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector spaces.

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d . If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ are eigenvalues of F^* in $H_{\text{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ iff $q^d/\alpha_1, \dots, q^d/\alpha_s$ are eigenvalues of F^* in $H_{\text{et}}^{2d-i}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

Corollary (Grothendieck)

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d . Then, $Z(X, T)$ has a functional equation

$$Z(X, \frac{1}{q^dT}) = \varepsilon(X) (q^dT)^{\chi(X)} Z(X, T)$$

where

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} H_{\text{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of X and

$$\varepsilon(X) = \prod_{i=0}^{2d} \det(-F^* | H_{\text{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))^{(-1)^{i+1}}$$

is called the epsilon factor of X .

It answers (B) of Weil conjecture.

Theorem ("*Sur la conjecture de Weil I*", Deligne 1973)

*Let X be a projective and smooth variety over \mathbb{F}_q . Then each polynomial $P_i(T) = \det(1 - F^*T | H_{\text{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$ has integral coefficients independent of ℓ ($\ell \neq p$) and each complex root of $P_i(T)$ is an algebraic number of absolute value $q^{-i/2}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 2d$).*

Theorem ("Sur la conjecture de Weil I", Deligne 1973)

*Let X be a projective and smooth variety over \mathbb{F}_q . Then each polynomial $P_i(T) = \det(1 - F^*T | H_{\text{et}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$ has integral coefficients independent of ℓ ($\ell \neq p$) and each complex root of $P_i(T)$ is an algebraic number of absolute value $q^{-i/2}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 2d$).*

Deligne's theorem fully solved Weil conjectures.

Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q and let \mathcal{G} be an étale \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf. For each closed point $x \in X$, the relative Frobenius F_x act on the étale stalk $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{x}}$.

Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q and let \mathcal{G} be an étale \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf. For each closed point $x \in X$, the relative Frobenius F_x act on the étale stalk $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{x}}$.

Definition

Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q .

- (1) An étale \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf \mathcal{G} on X is called *pure of weight* $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ if, for every closed point $x \in X$, all eigenvalues of F_x on $\mathcal{G}|_{\bar{x}}$ are algebraic numbers ($\in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$) and all their complex conjugations have absolute value $(\#k(x))^{r/2}$.
- (2) An étale \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf \mathcal{G} on X is called *mixed of weight* $\leq r \in \mathbb{Q}$, if it has a finite filtration whose successive quotients are pure of weight $\leq r$.

Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q and let \mathcal{G} be an étale \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf. For each closed point $x \in X$, the relative Frobenius F_x act on the étale stalk $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{x}}$.

Definition

Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q .

- (1) An étale \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf \mathcal{G} on X is called *pure of weight* $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ if, for every closed point $x \in X$, all eigenvalues of F_x on $\mathcal{G}|_{\bar{x}}$ are algebraic numbers ($\in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$) and all their complex conjugations have absolute value $(\#k(x))^{r/2}$.
- (2) An étale \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf \mathcal{G} on X is called *mixed of weight* $\leq r \in \mathbb{Q}$, if it has a finite filtration whose successive quotients are pure of weight $\leq r$.

The constant sheaf \mathbb{Q}_ℓ on X is pure of weight 0.

Theorem (key theorem of Deligne)

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of varieties over \mathbb{F}_q and let \mathcal{F} be a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf on X . Suppose \mathcal{F} is mixed of weight $\leq r$. Then, for any $i \geq 0$, $Rf_!^i \mathcal{F}$ is mixed of weight $\leq r + i$.

Theorem (key theorem of Deligne)

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of varieties over \mathbb{F}_q and let \mathcal{F} be a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf on X . Suppose \mathcal{F} is mixed of weight $\leq r$. Then, for any $i \geq 0$, $Rf_!^i \mathcal{F}$ is mixed of weight $\leq r + i$.

- key theorem + Poincaré duality \Rightarrow Weil conjectures (C)

Theorem (key theorem of Deligne)

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of varieties over \mathbb{F}_q and let \mathcal{F} be a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf on X . Suppose \mathcal{F} is mixed of weight $\leq r$. Then, for any $i \geq 0$, $Rf_!^i \mathcal{F}$ is mixed of weight $\leq r + i$.

- key theorem + Poincaré duality \Rightarrow Weil conjectures (C)
- Key theorem is proved in "La conjecture de Weil I" (1973) and "La conjecture de Weil II" (1980).

Theorem (key theorem of Deligne)

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of varieties over \mathbb{F}_q and let \mathcal{F} be a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf on X . Suppose \mathcal{F} is mixed of weight $\leq r$. Then, for any $i \geq 0$, $Rf_!^i \mathcal{F}$ is mixed of weight $\leq r + i$.

- key theorem + Poincaré duality \Rightarrow Weil conjectures (C)
- Key theorem is proved in "La conjecture de Weil I" (1973) and "La conjecture de Weil II" (1980).
- Key theorem can be used to prove Weil conjectures for L -functions in Weil II:

$$L(X, \mathcal{F}, T) = \prod_{x \in |X|} \frac{1}{\det(1 - F_x T^{\deg(x)} | \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}})}$$

Theorem (key theorem of Deligne)

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of varieties over \mathbb{F}_q and let \mathcal{F} be a \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaf on X . Suppose \mathcal{F} is mixed of weight $\leq r$. Then, for any $i \geq 0$, $Rf_!^i \mathcal{F}$ is mixed of weight $\leq r + i$.

- key theorem + Poincaré duality \Rightarrow Weil conjectures (C)
- Key theorem is proved in "La conjecture de Weil I" (1973) and "La conjecture de Weil II" (1980).
- Key theorem can be used to prove Weil conjectures for L -functions in Weil II:

$$L(X, \mathcal{F}, T) = \prod_{x \in |X|} \frac{1}{\det(1 - F_x T^{\deg(x)} | \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}})}$$

- Laumon gives a simpler proof of key theorem by Deligne-Fourier transform for étale sheaves.

Further developments

Perverse sheaves (Bernstein-Beilinson-Deligne-Gabber)

Further developments

Perverse sheaves (Bernstein-Beilinson-Deligne-Gabber)

- Deligne's Weil II

Further developments

Perverse sheaves (Bernstein-Beilinson-Deligne-Gabber)

- Deligne's Weil II
- D -modules and Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture

Further developments

Perverse sheaves (Bernstein-Beilinson-Deligne-Gabber)

- Deligne's Weil II
- D -modules and Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture
- Intersection cohomology

Further developments

Perverse sheaves (Bernstein-Beilinson-Deligne-Gabber)

- Deligne's Weil II
- D -modules and Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture
- Intersection cohomology
- Geometric Satake in the geometric Langlands correspondence
- ...

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

- Tate conjecture

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

- Tate conjecture
- Diophantine Equations and local-global methods

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

- Tate conjecture
- Diophantine Equations and local-global methods
- Ramification theory of ℓ -adic sheaves

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

- Tate conjecture
- Diophantine Equations and local-global methods
- Ramification theory of ℓ -adic sheaves
- Deligne-Fourier transform for ℓ -adic sheaves

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

- Tate conjecture
- Diophantine Equations and local-global methods
- Ramification theory of ℓ -adic sheaves
- Deligne-Fourier transform for ℓ -adic sheaves
- Modular curves, Galois representation and Fermat last theorem

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

- Tate conjecture
- Diophantine Equations and local-global methods
- Ramification theory of ℓ -adic sheaves
- Deligne-Fourier transform for ℓ -adic sheaves
- Modular curves, Galois representation and Fermat last theorem
- Shimura varieties and Langlands correspondences

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

- Tate conjecture
- Diophantine Equations and local-global methods
- Ramification theory of ℓ -adic sheaves
- Deligne-Fourier transform for ℓ -adic sheaves
- Modular curves, Galois representation and Fermat last theorem
- Shimura varieties and Langlands correspondences
- Shtukas and Langlands correspondences for function fields

Étale cohomology of schemes and moduli spaces

- Tate conjecture
- Diophantine Equations and local-global methods
- Ramification theory of ℓ -adic sheaves
- Deligne-Fourier transform for ℓ -adic sheaves
- Modular curves, Galois representation and Fermat last theorem
- Shimura varieties and Langlands correspondences
- Shtukas and Langlands correspondences for function fields
- Perfectoid spaces, diamonds and local langlands correspondences
- ...

Cohomology theories

Cohomology theories

- Crystalline cohomology and arithmetic D -modules

Cohomology theories

- Crystalline cohomology and arithmetic D -modules
- p -adic Hodge theory and Faltings topos

Cohomology theories

- Crystalline cohomology and arithmetic D -modules
- p -adic Hodge theory and Faltings topos
- Motivic cohomology and motives

Cohomology theories

- Crystalline cohomology and arithmetic D -modules
- p -adic Hodge theory and Faltings topos
- Motivic cohomology and motives
- Pro-étale cohomology

Cohomology theories

- Crystalline cohomology and arithmetic D -modules
- p -adic Hodge theory and Faltings topos
- Motivic cohomology and motives
- Pro-étale cohomology
- Prismatic cohomology
- ...

...

Thank you!